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ABSTRACT
The annual program report provides detailed information about all aspects of the SNL/CA Environmental Monitoring Program for a given calendar year. It functions as supporting documentation to the SNL/CA Environmental Management System Program Manual. The 2005 Update program report describes the activities undertaken during the past year, and activities planned in future years to implement the Environmental Monitoring Program, one of six programs that supports environmental management at SNL/CA.
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1 Program Description

DOE Orders 450.1, 5400.5, and DOE/EH-0173T, establish environmental protection program requirements, authorities, and responsibilities. These Orders stipulate that all DOE facilities comply with Federal, State, and local environmental protection laws and regulations, and best management practices. DOE Order 450.1 replaces DOE Order 5400.1, which previously required an environmental monitoring plan to document how each facility will comply with these laws and regulations. Although an environmental monitoring plan is no longer required, this report documents Sandia’s efforts not only to comply with these laws and regulations but also to comply with DOE’s policy to keep emissions to the environment as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA).

This Annual Program Report has been prepared in accordance with DOE Order 5400.5, and DOE/EH-0173T and with consideration of DOE Order 450.1. The primary purpose of this plan is to formally document SNL/California’s effluent monitoring and environmental surveillance system to ensure compliance with Federal, State, and local requirements, and DOE Orders.

This report covers only operations at the SNL/California facility.

Environmental monitoring at SNL/California consists of two major parts:
1. liquid effluent monitoring, and
2. environmental surveillance.
Effluents are monitored at the point of discharge to measure the amount of pollutants released by SNL/California. Effluent monitoring data also allow SNL/California to evaluate the effectiveness of pollution control programs and to detect unplanned releases. DOE Orders 450.1 5400.5, and DOE/EH-173T contain the requirements for effluent monitoring. The City of Livermore Wastewater Discharge Permit contains additional requirements for liquid effluent monitoring.

Environmental surveillance is done to assess the actual impact of pollutant releases on portions of the environment that may be important pathways of exposure to the local population. Environmental samples also help identify trends in the pollutant levels. Surveillance data provide a means of evaluating the effectiveness of pollution control measures and of assuring that SNL/California conducts operations so as to preserve the quality of the environment. DOE/EH-0173T includes the types of environmental surveillance to be done around DOE facilities.

1.1 Site Background

1.1.1 Site Description

Location
SNL/California is approximately 65 km (40 miles) east of San Francisco, on the southeastern boundary of the City of Livermore. Figure 1-1 shows the location of SNL/California in the San Francisco Bay Area.
The SNL/California site covers 1.7 km$^2$ (413 acres, not including a 228-acre buffer zone). It lies at the western base of the Altamont hills, which form the eastern boundary of the Livermore Valley. The Livermore Valley is an irregularly shaped lowland in the Diablo Range of the California Coastal Mountain Range. It is approximately 26 km (16 miles) long (east to west) and averages about 11 km (7 miles) wide. The Valley floor slopes to the west from high elevation in the east of approximately 200m (660 ft.) to a low of about 90 m (295 ft.) at the western end of the Valley.

The Valley’s major drainage is via seasonally intermittent streams (arroyos). These arroyos generally carry water to the southwest end of the Valley and into the Alameda Creek near Sunol. Alameda Creek then continues on to the San Francisco Bay.

**Geology**

The geology of the Livermore Valley is complex. The northern portion of the site is on gently northwest-sloping land underlain by alluvial deposits (clay, silt, sand, gravel, and similar materials deposited by running water). These deposits are mapped as Pleistocene Epoch (up to 2 to 3 million years old). Older alluvial terrace deposits and deformed beds of Livermore gravels underlie the hilly southern portion of the site. These two areas, with contrasting physiography and stratigraphy, are separated by the Las Positas fault, which extends northeast to southwest. It runs across the site along the change in slope from the hilly southern portion to the gently sloping northern portion.

The alluvial deposits create interbedded layers of higher and lower permeability overlying the older Livermore formation. The groundwater of the Livermore Valley is in the more permeable layers, which lie between 5 and 33 m (17 and 110 ft.) below the surface. Groundwater flows generally in a northwesterly direction. Groundwater flow to the south of the fault is not as well understood.

The Arroyo Seco traverses the SNL/California site from the southeast to the northwest. It receives storm water runoff from the site and acts as the primary pathway for groundwater recharge near the site.
Figure 1-1 SNL/California in a regional setting
Climatology
The climate of the Livermore Valley consists of mild, rainy winters, and warm, dry summers. The mean annual temperature is 12.5°C (55°F), with extremes ranging from 0°C to 38°C (32°F to 100°F). The average annual rainfall is less than 15 in., which classifies the area as semi-arid. Rain falls primarily between October and April. The wind patterns also show a strong seasonal variation. During the summer months, the winds are predominantly from the west or southwest, flowing into the Valley from the San Francisco Bay Area through the Dublin Gap at its western end. The winds typically exit the Valley through the Altamont Pass at the eastern end. Peak winds tend to occur during the afternoon due to the “sea-breeze” effect caused by the high air temperatures in the inland valleys compared to the cooler air over the Pacific Ocean. Periods of calm occur most often during the early morning hours just before dawn. During the winter months, winds tend to blow predominantly from the south, with a secondary component from the north. Relative humidity ranges from daily lows of 40-60% in the afternoons to daily highs of 80% to over 90% in the early morning.

1.1.2 Land Use

Figure 1-2 is an aerial photograph of the SNL/California site and vicinity, showing the predominant land uses.

The SNL/California site is immediately bounded on the east, south, and west by a security buffer zone. No development is allowed in this zone, and public access is not permitted.

LLNL lies directly to the north of SNL/California. Patterson Pass Road is the northern boundary of the LLNL site. Across Patterson Pass Road to the north is a light industrial park. A Union Pacific Railroad line runs east to west along the northern boundary of the industrial park. Land uses further north include vacant land, industrial, a Southern Pacific Railroad line, and Interstate 580 (I-580). Land northeast of the site is agricultural used primarily for grazing. Wind turbines are on the hills of the Altamont Pass further northeast of the site.

The SNL/California site is bordered on the east by private property and Greenville Road. The property east of Greenville Road is mainly agricultural, used primarily for grazing, with a few scattered rural residences. A Western Area Power Administration electrical substation is on the southeast corner of Greenville Road and Patterson Pass Road. The South Bay Aqueduct, a branch of the California Aqueduct, traverses the land east of the SNL site from north to south and runs parallel to SNL/California’s eastern boundary. The Patterson Reservoir and filtration plant for the South Bay Aqueduct are northeast of the SNL/California site along Patterson Pass Road.

Tesla Road borders the southern portion of the SNL/California site. Approximately 50 acres south of the SNL/California site border (between the border and Tesla Road) are vineyards. Agricultural lands south of Tesla Road and west of Greenville Road are also vineyards.

The SNL/California site is bordered on the west by private property and Vasco Road. A residential development is west of SNL/California, east of Vasco Road, and south of East Avenue. A low-density, single-family residential subdivision is on the southwest corners of Patterson Pass Road and Vasco Road. Residential housing developments are located west of
Vasco Road on the north and south sides of East Avenue. A light industrial park is on the southwest corner of East Avenue and Vasco Road. Other lands to the west are rural residential and agricultural (primarily vineyards).

Several easements for utilities cross the SNL/California site. PG&E has easements for overhead high-voltage electric power transmission lines and an underground high-pressure gas line. Standard Oil Company of California has an easement for an underground oil line.

**Figure 1-2 Predominant land uses around the SNL/California site**
1.1.3 Operational Activities

SNL/California’s engineering, research and development work requires the use of small quantities of hazardous and radioactive materials. These materials are present only in small laboratory-scale quantities. Table 1-1 lists SNL/California’s facilities by building number, their description and use, and size (square footage). The following are SNL/California’s activities that could release pollutants to the environment:

1. **Space Heating**- SNL/California has ten boilers on-site for providing space heating. All of the boilers are fired by natural gas or diesel fuel. The BAAQMD has issued permits for the operation of these boilers. These permits are updated and reissued annually.

2. **Degreasers**- SNL/California operates one equipment degreaser and cleaner. The BAAQMD has issued a permit for this degreaser. This permit is updated and reissued annually.

3. **Paint Spray Booth**- SNL/California operates one paint spray booth, which has been permitted by the BAAQMD. This permit is updated and reissued annually.

4. **Waste Storage Facility**- The Hazardous Waste Treatment and Storage Facility used for storing containerized and drummed wastes consist of two buildings 961 and 9611. Both structures are completely enclosed. They are made of prefabricated, pre-engineered steel frame with a monolithic concrete floor and metal roof. The floor is coated with a chemical resistant epoxy coating. Building 9611 also has a covered loading dock on the south side. The dock has a secondary containment trough if a spill during loading operations should occur on the west side. Waste is not stored on the dock. Since the facility is now completely under roof the requirement for containment of precipitation from a 24-25 year storm does not apply.

5. **Printed Circuit Board Facility**- The Printed Circuit Board Facility in Building 910 contains dip and rinse tanks containing the following materials: copper, cadmium, lead, ammonia, and volatile organics. Effluents from this laboratory are directed to a process water tank. The effluent is treated by ion exchange to remove metals such as copper and, if necessary, the pH is adjusted. The effluent is analyzed for copper and pH before being released to a Liquid Effluent Control System (LECS). The contents of the LECS are analyzed for compliance with Livermore Water Reclamation Plant (LWRP) discharge limits before they are released to the sanitary sewer. In addition, effluents from this laboratory are analyzed biannually under the requirements of the Federal Categorical Pretreatment Regulations. The LWRP also independently samples and analyzes the effluents from these processes.

6. **Electroplating Laboratory**- The Building 943 laboratory liquid effluent is treated in a closed-loop system and reused as makeup water. The new equipment has no liquid effluent discharge, and therefore, effluent monitoring for this categorical process is no longer required. The BAAQMD has issued a permit for the process in this facility. The permit requires records of ampere-hours be maintained monthly. However, the equipment is currently not in operation. Nevertheless, the permit and exemption is still updated and reissued annually.

7. **Explosives Testing**- Small-scale testing of explosive devices is conducted in enclosed test cells. The BAAQMD has issued exemptions for these operations. The exemptions are updated and reissued annually.
Table 1-1 SNL/California Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bldg. #</th>
<th>Description/Use</th>
<th>Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>Bldg. #</th>
<th>Description/Use</th>
<th>Sq. Ft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>904</td>
<td>Auditorium</td>
<td>5,082</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>CRDL</td>
<td>16,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>905</td>
<td>Combustion Research Facility (CRF) Offices</td>
<td>37,776</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>CRDL Mechanical Assembly</td>
<td>2,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>906</td>
<td>CRF Labs</td>
<td>76,369</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>Energy Conversion Laboratory</td>
<td>2,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907</td>
<td>Mechanical Building</td>
<td>4,501</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>Centrifuge and Laboratories</td>
<td>11,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910</td>
<td>Weapons Laboratory Building</td>
<td>88,886</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>Firing, Support Labs, and Offices</td>
<td>5,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>911</td>
<td>Personnel, Purchasing</td>
<td>20,621</td>
<td>973-1</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912</td>
<td>Offices, Services, Computer Center</td>
<td>128,103</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>Explosive Assembly</td>
<td>955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>914</td>
<td>NDE, Applied Mechanics Laboratories</td>
<td>25,023</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>Gas Applications and Systems Facility</td>
<td>3,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>915</td>
<td>DISL</td>
<td>71,500</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>Storage and Laboratory</td>
<td>1,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>915-1</td>
<td>Emergency Generator Room</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>Explosive Test Facility</td>
<td>3,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916</td>
<td>Laboratories, Offices</td>
<td>41,768</td>
<td>978-1</td>
<td>Storage Shed</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916-1</td>
<td>Mechanical Equipment Building</td>
<td>3,420</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>Component Development Laboratory</td>
<td>4,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916-3</td>
<td>Equipment Room</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>Explosives Packaging Storage</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>919</td>
<td>Electrical Substation</td>
<td>2,012</td>
<td>982-6</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>920</td>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>12,273</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>FTU Assembly</td>
<td>1,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921</td>
<td>Offices and Library</td>
<td>12,339</td>
<td>987-1</td>
<td>Training Classroom</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>922</td>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>12,339</td>
<td>987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>923</td>
<td>Records Storage</td>
<td>3,915</td>
<td>987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>925</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>5,419</td>
<td>987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>927</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>22,001</td>
<td>987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>928</td>
<td>Shipping, Receiving, Stores</td>
<td>27,859</td>
<td>987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>929</td>
<td>Program Development Facility</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>MANTL</td>
<td>22,789</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>941</td>
<td>MANTL</td>
<td>30,219</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>942</td>
<td>MANTL</td>
<td>25,740</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>943</td>
<td>MANTL</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>955</td>
<td>Environmental Test Facility</td>
<td>6,458</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>956</td>
<td>Dynamic Test Facility</td>
<td>2,572</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>960</td>
<td>Facilities Office</td>
<td>12,155</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>961</td>
<td>Radiation Decontamination and Storage Facility</td>
<td>3,779</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>961-1</td>
<td>Hazardous Material Processing</td>
<td>8,422</td>
<td>988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962</td>
<td>Maintenance Landscape Shop</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962-1</td>
<td>Maintenance Shed</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962-2</td>
<td>Maintenance Shed</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962-3</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962-4</td>
<td>Maintenance Shed</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962-5</td>
<td>Maintenance Shed</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962-6</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>962-7</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963</td>
<td>Maintenance Shops</td>
<td>14,546</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963-1</td>
<td>Maintenance Warehouse</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963-2</td>
<td>Welding Shop</td>
<td>1,384</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963-3</td>
<td>Warehouse/Tool Crib</td>
<td>12,250</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963-5</td>
<td>Landscape Shop</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>964</td>
<td>Security Building</td>
<td>11,840</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>965</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>966</td>
<td>High Pressure Test Facility</td>
<td>7,926</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>967</td>
<td>Chemical and Radiation Detection Laboratory (CRDL) Office</td>
<td>4,771</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total   |                                  | 103,040 |
8. **Radiography**-Isotopic gamma ray sources (\(^{60}\)Co and \(^{192}\)Ir) and x-ray-producing machines are used in the 941 complex. The external dose rates in and around the building were studied and were determined to slightly exceed background levels during operations. These operations do not release radioactive materials to the environment.

9. **Combustion Research Facility**-This facility houses research-scale studies of combustion processes, including research on internal combustion engines. The BAAQMD has issued permits and exemptions for processes in this facility where applicable. The permits and exemptions are updated and reissued annually.

10. **Maintenance Shop**-This shop maintains and repairs mechanical equipment for all SNL/California organizations. These operations entail the use of various solvents and other chemicals that may be subject to environmental regulations.

11. **Model Shop/Test Assembly**-This shop fabricates and assembles components for research and experiments. These operations may involve the use of radioactive or hazardous materials.

12. **Experimental Laboratories**-Various small, research-scale laboratories use a wide range of chemicals or hazardous substances. Airborne and liquid emissions have been evaluated and controlled as necessary. Appropriate permits or written exemptions have been obtained for these laboratories.

13. **Semiconductor Manufacturing Laboratory**-The Semiconductor Manufacturing Laboratory in Building 968 conducts the following operations: polishing, etching, cleaning of film, lithography, and film deposition. These operations use acids, caustics, and solvents. Effluent from this laboratory is directed to a treatment unit where the pH is neutralized. Prior to release to the sanitary sewer, the effluent in the LECS is analyzed to determine if it is in compliance with LWRP discharge limits. In addition, effluent from the laboratory is analyzed biannually under the requirements of the Federal Categorical pretreatment Regulation\(^{13,15}\). LWRP also independently samples and analyzes the effluent from these processes.

### 1.1.4 Known Areas of Contamination

Several areas at the SNL/California site have been investigated to determine if environmental contamination was present. One contaminated site has been remediated and formally closed. The following discussion covers those areas where environmental contamination exists.

#### Fuel Oil Spill Site (FOS)

In 1975, as the result of an accidental puncture of an underground transfer line, 59,500 gallons of \#2 diesel fuel spilled into the vadose zone from an aboveground reserve fuel tank. SNL/California completed a remedial investigation of the spill site in November 1988. In-situ bioremediation was determined to be the technology of choice for clean up of the FOS.

During installation of the bioremediation facility, an interim remedial measure was implemented. This interim measure consisted of capture of groundwater and treatment with activated carbon.

The bioremediation facility operated from June 1995 through July 1999. Data collected during this time period indicated that the diesel plume was not migrating, and that natural degradation of the diesel would be sufficient to prevent negative impacts from the plume. The Regional Water
Quality Control Board (RWQCB) allowed SNL/California to dismantle the bioremediation facility.

SNL/California is required to monitor two wells at the FOS semi-annually. Periodically, the Regional Water Quality Control Board may review the data, and decide if further action is required.

**Navy Landfill**

An inactive landfill is located at the southern end of the SNL/California site. It was used by the Navy during and shortly after World War II, and again by LLNL in the 1950s and early 1960s. A survey of historical records and landfill contents indicated that only general construction debris and machine turnings were disposed of at the site. There is no indication that hazardous materials were buried at this landfill. The landfill measures approximately 11,300 m$^2$ in area and 68,800 m$^3$ in volume.

SNL/California investigated this site from 1988 through 1998.

In October of 1997, a risk assessment and closure plan were submitted to the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The closure request was approved in March 1998. Closure of the NLF was approved if the following conditions were satisfied:
1. Groundwater monitoring is continued on a quarterly basis at monitoring well NLF-6, where carbon tetrachloride is intermittently detected.
2. An adequate vegetative cover is applied to the landfill, such that there are no exposed areas.
3. Erosion control measures are followed in accordance with the submitted erosion control plan.

All NLF site closure activities were completed on July 9, 1998, and the site is considered closed as of that date. All of the Regional Water Quality Control Board closure conditions have been satisfied. SNL/California will continue to monitor NLF-6 and to follow the site’s erosion control plan. Continued inspections of the NLF site have shown no evidence of erosion. During 2005, SNL/CA and DOE/SSO will submit a request to the RWQCB to approve discontinuation of stormwater sampling at this location.

SNL/CA was required to continue quarterly monitoring of carbon tetrachloride at well NLF-6 until four continuous quarters of non-detectable concentrations was achieved. This was achieved during the first quarter of 2005. A request to rescind Cleanup and Abatement Order 89-184 was made to the RWQCB on April 18, 2005. In August 2005 the RWQCB approved decreasing monitoring at NLF-6 from quarterly to annually.

**Trudell Auto Repair Shop**

The Trudell Auto Repair Shop site is located in the Buffer Zone area on the northwest corner of the site. Hydrologic investigations at the Trudell site identified areas of soil pollution in localized areas of the site, and in two areas where waste oil was disposed of to land. All remedial actions were completed by August 1990. Since the area of contamination at Trudell was small, no monitoring wells were drilled by SNL/CA. However, LLNL has three wells at or near the Trudell site; previously SNL/CA sampled one of these wells (MW-406). This well was also sampled for groundwater down gradient perimeter monitoring. In early 2005, the RWQCB
allowed SNL/CA to discontinue monitoring this well. LLNL continues to monitor this well and at the RWQCB’s request SNL/CA will report these monitoring results in the Annual Site Environmental Report.

**Solvent Plume from LLNL**
The plume of TCE contaminated groundwater underlying LLNL property extends onto SNL/California property. The source of the TCE was from past practices at LLNL. LLNL is treating groundwater to remove the TCE. SNL/California has no responsibilities in this area. SNL/CA has discontinued monitoring MW-406 annually but will continue to review LLNL monitoring results until tetrachloroethene (perchloroethene or PCE) is non detectable.

### 1.2 Effluent Monitoring

Effluents are monitored to demonstrate SNL/California’s compliance with applicable Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and orders. Monitoring is done through quantification of pollutant emissions at the points of discharge from facilities.

Data from effluent monitoring equipment are used to assess compliance with standards for pollutant emissions.

SNL/California is committed to conduct operations so that emissions of hazardous materials to the environment are in compliance with all applicable regulations. Moreover, Sandia strives to keep emissions to ALARA levels.

SNL/California monitors two types of effluents: process wastewater and the sanitary sewer effluent (where it leaves the site and joins the sanitary sewer effluent from LLNL).

SNL/California does not have any radiological or non-radiological emissions that require air monitoring.

Since the cleanup of the former Tritium Research Laboratory and its transition to non-nuclear uses, SNL/California no longer models doses to the public from airborne effluents. However, SNL/California personnel do retain the capability to perform such modeling should the need arise in the future.

SNL/California has established the following policy for wastewater discharges:

- **Any wastewater discharged either directly to the site sanitary sewer system or to a LECS shall not have pollutant concentrations exceeding the site outfall discharge limits imposed by the City of Livermore.**

- **Wastewater effluents from categorical processes, such as the Printed Wiring Laboratory, must comply with Federal Pretreatment Standards.**

- **Routine discharges from the LECS to the site sewer system must also comply with site outfall discharge limits. If LECS wastewaters inadvertently exceed these concentrations, but are below hazardous waste concentrations, the Environmental**
Operations Department may or may not treat the effluent before discharge. If the effluent exceeds hazardous waste concentrations, it shall be shipped off site as a hazardous waste.

Long-standing Sandia policy prohibits hazardous waste disposal down sanitary sewer connections.

DOE/EH-01733T and Section 13.32 of the city of Livermore Municipal Code outline the monitoring requirements for process wastewater and sanitary sewer effluents. These requirements are also included in the Wastewater Discharge Permit #1251.4. Table 1-2 lists the site sanitary sewer outfall discharge limits for specific pollutants.

### Table 1-2 Specific Pollutant Limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Concentration Limit(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>0.06 mg/l(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.14 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1.0 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Total)</td>
<td>0.62 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.20 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>0.01 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.61 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.20 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>3.00 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>0.04 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTO(^c)</td>
<td>1.0 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)These limits are specified in Section 13.32.100 of the City of Livermore Municipal Code and have been adopted by SNL/California as internal operating limits.

\(^b\)1 mg/l is equivalent to 1 ppm (parts per millions).

\(^c\)TTO = Total Toxic Organics

### 1.2.1 Liquid Effluent Control Systems

Liquid effluents from the major wastewater-generating operations on-site are routed to the site’s liquid effluent control systems (LECS). LECS are not required by any regulations, but SNL/California has established them to provide better control of liquid effluents and to ensure compliance with regulatory discharge limits and sound management practices.

The LECS comprise large doubly-contained, level and pH-monitored, holding tanks. These tanks collect and retain the wastewater, allowing a sample to be analyzed for process constituents. (If a
tank contains pollutants at levels greater than regulated permit limits, then the liquid is treated or disposed of as hazardous waste, as described in the policy statement above.)

The Environmental Operations Department is responsible for managing all aspects of the LECS, to include collecting and analyzing samples, disposing of wastewater, and keeping records.

Figure 1-3 shows the locations of the LECSs at the SNL/California site. They are:

- **Bldg. 906** – process wastewater is routed to a LECS consisting of two 6,000-gallon tanks (new tanks installed in 2005).
- **Bldg. 910** – process wastewater is routed from the Printed Wiring Facility to a LECS consisting of one 5,000-gallon tank.
- **Bldg. 916** – process wastewater from laboratories in Bldg. 916 is routed to a LECS consisting of three 5,000-gallon tanks.
- **Bldg. 941** – process wastewater is routed to a LECS consisting of two 5,000-gallon tanks (new tanks installed in 2005).
- **Bldg. 961** – water from decontamination operations is routed to a LECS, consisting of one 2,000-gallon tank.
- **Bldg. 968** – all floor drains and laboratory sinks are routed to four 2,000-gallon tanks (new tanks installed in 2005).

When the liquid level in a tank reaches a pre-determined level, the tank is isolated and a sample is collected and analyzed. The tank does not receive any more wastewater before its contents are properly disposed of.

To assure that a representative sample is collected, the contents of the tanks are agitated by recirculation, stirring, or by air being bubbled through them before they are sampled.

A State-certified contract laboratory analyzes all LECS samples. If needed, LECS tanks can be sampled and analyzed in the field for copper and zinc using a VVR Water Analysis System at the discretion of the program lead. The 906, 916, 941, and 968 LECS are continuously monitored for pH and liquid level. The 910 and 961 LECS receive only batch discharges; thus continuous level monitoring is not implemented here.

The analyses done on each LECS are based on the process generating the wastewater (see Table 1-3). The primary constituents of concern are metals. Procedures for collecting and analyzing samples from the LECS have been developed and implemented. The procedures also address quality assurance and control issues.
Figure 1-3 SNL/California sanitary sewer system and locations of the liquid effluent control system
Table 1-3 LECS Wastewater Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LECS</th>
<th>Analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. 906</td>
<td>As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Ag, Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 910</td>
<td>As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Ag, Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 916</td>
<td>As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Ag, Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 941</td>
<td>As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Ag, Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 961</td>
<td>As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Ag, Zn, 238U, volatiles, semivolatiles, CN⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. 968</td>
<td>As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Ag, Zn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2.2 Sanitary Sewer

SNL/California monitors its sanitary sewer effluent before it exits the site and joins the sanitary sewer flow from LLNL.

To provide a final check on the quality of the effluent flow, SNL/California continuously monitors, and collects samples of the effluent (see Table 1-4 for the analyses performed on the samples). This way, SNL can verify compliance with discharge limits.

Table 1-4 Sanitary Sewer Sampling Type and Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>EPA Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>grab</td>
<td>cyanide</td>
<td>335.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>grab</td>
<td>semi-volatile organics</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>grab</td>
<td>volatile organics</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>weekly composite</td>
<td>metals</td>
<td>200.7, 206.2, 239.2, 245.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>daily composite</td>
<td>TDS, TSS, BOD</td>
<td>150.1, 160.1, 160.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the combined effluent at the LLNL sewer outfall is monitored extensively, to include continuous monitoring for metals, pH, flow, and gamma radiation. This monitoring is supplemented by flow proportional grab samples, which are analyzed daily for gross alpha activity and gross beta activity. These composite grab samples are also analyzed monthly for metals, organics, TDS, TSS, specific conductivity, BOD, COD, and CN.

Daily grab samples from the Livermore Water Reclamation Plant effluent are also analyzed for gross alpha activity, gross beta activity, and tritium.

Sanitary sewer effluent samples are collected at the site sewer outfall approximately 400m northwest of the Building 941 complex (see Fig. 1-3).
The City of Livermore Wastewater Discharge Permit contains pollutant limits based on applicable Federal and State regulations. Title 17 CCR contains discharge limitations for radionuclides.

Continuous monitoring of flow and pH is done at the outfall. Flow is measured by a Poly-Level model ER586-F flowmeter. The pH probe is a Horiba model.

Monthly grab samples are collected manually at the outfall, upstream of the flow-measuring instrument. Continuous flow-proportional samples are collected with an ISCO 3700R refrigerated sampler. Table 1-4 shows the collection frequency of the various types of samples. A second flow proportional sampler collects a sample, which is archived until results from the original sample have been received. Monthly grab samples are collected for cyanide, semi-volatile organics, and volatile organics (the organics results are compared to the TTO effluent limitation). Flow-proportional daily composites are collected monthly for TDS, TSS, and BOD. Flow-proportional weekly composites are collected for metals. A State-certified contract laboratory does these analyses.

Quality Assurance/Quality control duplicate samples are collected for all parameters on a monthly basis.

1.2.3 Federal Categorical Processes

SNL, California has three processes, which are subject to the regulation under the Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards. The regulations for the Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards applicable to SNL/California operations are found in 40 CFR parts 403, 433, and 469.

SNL/California operates two metal finishing categorical processes subject to the EPA’s pretreatment standards for point sources. One of these processes is the Printed Wiring Facility located in Building 910. The other process is the Electroplating Facility in Building 943. This process does not discharge to the sanitary sewer, and therefore, is exempt from sampling. SNL/California operates one semi-conductor categorical process subject to the EPA’s pretreatment standards for point sources.

Semianually, SNL/California conducts special sampling procedures for these facilities’ wastewater. The compliance point for categorical processes is at the end of the process, not at the site outfall.

To comply with the requirements of the Federal Pretreatment Standards and the City of Livermore Water Reclamation Plant wastewater permit, SNL/California collects grab samples of the wastewater from the Printed Wiring Laboratory semiannually. A State-certified commercial laboratory analyzes the samples for pH, cyanide, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, silver, zinc, and total toxic organics (TTO). The toxic organic compound analysis covers all EPA priority organic pollutants.

To comply with the requirements of the Federal Pretreatment Standards and the City of Livermore Wastewater Treatment Plant wastewater permit, SNL/California collects grab samples
of the wastewater from the Microstructures Laboratory semiannually. A State-certified commercial laboratory analyzes the samples for pH, arsenic and total toxic organics (TTO).

1.3 Environmental Surveillance

The primary task of the Environmental Monitoring Program is to monitor the major potential pollutant release pathways from the SNL/California site. Environmental surveillance samples also provide a means of verifying the effectiveness of environmental controls (at the source). They provide valuable data for determining SNL/California’s compliance with applicable environmental regulations.

The Environmental Monitoring Program also provides surveillance for detecting and quantifying unplanned releases (e.g., in case of an accident).

SNL/California monitors external radiation and liquid effluents. Table 1-5 shows the DOE’s minimum criteria for determining a need for environmental surveillance. Even though this table deals primarily with radionuclide monitoring, SNL/CA uses these criteria to show that specific radionuclide monitoring is not justified at SNL/CA. Table 1-6 summarizes SNL/California’s environmental surveillance activities. State and local authorities also require SNL/California to perform environmental surveillance, as reflected in Table 1-6.
### Table 1-5 Minimum Criteria for Determining Need for Environmental Surveillance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routine surveillance of all pathways (ingestion, inhalation, and immersion and submersion doses)</td>
<td>When feasible, all environmental media that, as determined by site-specific radiation exposure pathway analysis, might lead to a measurable annual dose of site origin at the site boundary should be routinely sampled and analyzed (for the critical radionuclides to dose) and routine measurements of penetrating radiation should be performed at those sites that, as determined by site-specific exposure pathway analysis, might result in an annual dose of site origin at the site boundary, if the total exceeds a) 5 mrem effective dose equivalent; or b) 100 person-rem collective effective dose equivalent within a radius of 80 km of a central point in the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic confirmation</td>
<td>Environmental surveillance measurements may be performed periodically, but should be performed at least every five years, to confirm the low dose levels, if the projected annual effective dose equivalent of site origin is less than 0.1 mrem. The frequency and magnitude of environmental surveillance should be proportional to the potential annual dose. Where potential annual dose represents a significant fraction of the reference dose for routine surveillance, environmental sampling should be more frequent. At 20% of the reference dose (e.g., 1 mrem effective dose equivalent from emissions during a year), annual surveillance for confirmation should be considered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathway measurements</td>
<td>Actual measurements on two media for each critical radionuclide/pathway combination, one of which might be the effluent stream, should be performed as part of the site routine effluent monitoring and environmental surveillance program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of control data</td>
<td>Use of data should be based on statistically significant differences between the point of measurement and background (or control) data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned releases</td>
<td>Provisions should be made, as appropriate, for the detection and quantification of unplanned releases of radionuclides to the environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1-6 Environmental Monitoring Sampling Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>No. of Locations</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Requiring Authority</th>
<th>Authority Reported to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>tritium, metals, solvents, pesticides, minerals, diesel</td>
<td>quarterly, bi-annual, annual and semi-annual</td>
<td>DOE Order 231.1</td>
<td>DOE, RWQCB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>metals, pH, TSS, priority pollutants, cyanide, BOD, COD, TDS</td>
<td>continuously, weekly, monthly</td>
<td>DOE Order 231.1, City of Livermore</td>
<td>DOE, City of Livermore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>tritium, pH, TSS, oil and grease, cyanide, metals, COD, specific conductance, ammonia and nitrate/nitrite</td>
<td>two storms per year</td>
<td>DOE Order 231.1, State of California General Industrial Permit</td>
<td>DOE, State of California (RWQCB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External radiation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>dose</td>
<td>monitored continuously, analyzed quarterly</td>
<td>DOE Order 231.1</td>
<td>DOE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3.1 External Radiation

The public may be exposed to external radiation from nuclear facility operations. Pathways include cloud passage of airborne effluents; previously released and deposited radionuclides on soil, vegetation, or sediments; radiation-generating faculties, especially high-energy accelerators or industrial x-ray equipment and large isotopic radiation sources; and the storage of movement of large amounts of radioactive waste.

The only sources of external radiation at the SNL/California site are large isotopic radiation sources used for industrial radiography operations. Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) are used to measure the dose rates near SNL/California. Dosimeters are located at the site perimeter and more distant locations near the California site. Presumably, if the Laboratory were contributing significantly to the external radiation doses, the dosimeters at the site perimeter would show a higher does than those at more distant locations.

DOE/EH 0173T contains guidance on external radiation monitoring methods (see Table 1-5). Additional guidance on external radiation monitoring may be found in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s (NRC’s) Regulatory Guide 4.13 and ANSI-N545-1975.

SNL/California maintains four on-site TLDs (Fig. 1-4). Figure 1-4 also shows the near-field TLD locations (maintained by LLNL), and Figure 1-5 shows the distant TLD locations (also maintained by LLNL).
The TLDs used on-site at SNL/California are Harshaw Model 8807. Environmental Operations Department personnel collect them quarterly and send them to SNL/New Mexico, for analysis by the Health Instrumentation Division. In the field, the TLDs are put in plastic vials, which are placed in waterproof, light sealed containers at the sampling location.

The off-site TLDs are collected quarterly by LLNL’s Environmental Monitoring Group and are processed by LLNL’s Hazards Control Division. They are kept in mylar bags while in the field. The sampling locations have been chosen to avoid interference from large or massive objects nearby (according to U.S. NRC Regulatory Guide 4.1331). The TLDs used by LLNL are Panasonic UD814 dosimeters.

Each phosphor of LLNL’s TLD must read within ±5% of the other three phosphors upon calibration to be acceptable for placement in the field. Dosimeters with a known exposure are introduced as blind samples during processing of the field dosimeters. These are equivalent to spiked pseudosamples for the purpose of establishing the accuracy of the system.

Duplicate dosimeter packets are placed at random locations and are analyzed with the routine dosimeters. The dosimeters are calibrated using NIST-traceable standards. Potential doses to the TLDs during collection and transit are assessed by the use of transit or trip controls.
Figure 1-4 Near-field thermoluminescent dosimeters (external radiation monitoring)
1.3.2 Biological Dose Assessment

In accordance with DOE Orders 5400.5 and 450.1, SNL/CA performs a biological dose assessment (BDA) annually. This assessment is performed utilizing DOE’s graded approach as presented in DOE Standard 1153-2002 “A Graded Approach for Evaluating Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota.”

The technical standard includes spreadsheets that include models for calculating doses from sediment and water radionuclide concentration data. The first step in the graded approach is a general screening which compares concentrations of radionuclides in environmental media with derived concentration guides. The ratios of the concentrations to the concentration guides are then summed. If the total equals or exceeds unity, then further analyses are required.

The radionuclides handled in greatest quantity at SNL, California during present or past operations are tritium and depleted uranium. Tritium in stormwater runoff was the only radiological data available for SNL/CA. LLNL collects sediment samples in the Arroyo Seco near the exit from the SNL/CA site during some years. When available, data from co-located
samples of stormwater runoff and sediment collected from the Arroyo Seco where it exits the SNL, California site are input into the spreadsheet. The sum of fractions from water totaled 5.9 \times 10^{-6} for 2004. This small fraction indicates that further analysis is not required.

LLNL did not collect uranium data in Arroyo Seco Sediments during 2003 or 2004, so this data was not included in the BDA.

### 1.3.3 Storm Water Runoff

Storm water may pick up various pollutants, such as oil and grease, soil, litter, pesticides and fertilizer, as it runs off rooftops, material handling areas, parking lots, and other impervious areas on-site. The SNL/California site has a storm drain system that transports surface runoff to the Arroyo Seco directly or via a ditch along East Avenue. Generally, any flow in the Arroyo Seco during wet months discharges into Alameda Creek, which eventually flows into San Francisco Bay. During dry months, any non-storm water discharge would eventually evaporate before reaching the Bay; however, pollutants may still be transported to San Francisco Bay when the Arroyo Seco flows again.

SNL/California is governed by California’s General Industrial Activities Storm Water NPDES General Permit (general industrial storm water permit). This permit regulates storm water discharges from “industrial activities” (as defined by the EPA’s Phase I November 1990 regulations). It requires that SNL/California do the following:

- effectively eliminate non-storm water discharges,
- prepare and implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP),
- develop and conduct a Storm Water Monitoring Program.

In response to the permitting requirement of the Federal Clean Water Act for municipal storm water discharge, the City of Livermore and Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation District adopted ordinances that also require SNL/California to manage storm water discharges to the municipal storm drainage system. However, under a memorandum of understanding with the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), the RWQCB is the lead regulatory agency for federal facilities such as SNL/California.

SNL/CA has prepared and maintains a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan that identifies activities that result in non-storm water discharges to the storm drain system and describes how these discharges are eliminated. It identifies sources and activities that could allow pollutants to be deposited on impervious surface and picked up by storm water runoff. It also describes how SNL/California minimizes these pollutant sources discharged with storm water runoff by implementing best management practices.

The purpose of the Storm Water Monitoring Program is to optimize SNL/California storm water pollution prevention activities. It consists of extensive visual inspection and sampling activities, which include:

- quarterly visual inspection for non-storm water discharges,
- wet weather visual inspection,
- storm water sampling, and
annual site inspection.

Storm water monitoring information is used to identify potential sources of pollutants and non-storm water discharges.

SNL/CA prepares an “Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges Associated With Industrial Activities.” This report is submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board and details the results of the stormwater monitoring program for the year, including the inspections listed above, corrective actions taken, and the stormwater analyses.

In 2002 SNL/California filed a Notice of Intent (NOI) to be covered under California’s General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activities (general construction storm water permit). In compliance with this permit SNL/California prepared and implemented a Construction Activities Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). SNL/California is covered by the permit for five years or until the construction projects on site are completed and SNL/California files a Notice of Termination (NOT). SNL/California submitted a NOT in April 2005. SNL/California received approval from the RWQCB to discontinue coverage under this permit. The Environmental Monitoring Program will continue to implement BMPs for construction activities less than acre on site under its current Industrial Activities SWPPP.

The California Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) General Permit was adopted in 2003 to meet EPA Phase II storm water regulations. In anticipation of being regulated as a non-traditional small MS4, SNL/California has incorporated the six minimum control measures required by the Small MS4 General Permit into SNL/California’s existing Storm Water Management Program.

Storm water sampling and analysis are conducted at ten locations on-site (nine of these locations are required by the stormwater regulations, the tenth is to monitor erosion at the Navy Landfill as discussed above). Sampling locations were selected based on the best representation of the drainage areas and types of activities conducted (Fig. 1-6).

Storm water samples are also collected in the Arroyo Seco as it flows onto the site and immediately before it leaves the site.

All storm drain outfalls are visually inspected once a month during the wet season, when surface runoff results in a continuous discharge of storm water for approximately one hour or more. Visual observations help identify if pollutants, such as oil and grease or floating and/or suspended materials, are discharged with storm water.

All storm water outfalls are inspected quarterly during dry weather to identify if non-storm water is being discharged. Visual observations are also done to detect any evidence, such as stains or odors that may indicate a past or intermittent non-storm water discharge.
Figure 1-6 SNL/California site storm water sampling locations
The site is inspected at least once a year to identify outdoor areas or activities that may potentially contribute pollutants to the storm drain system. The site inspection also helps determine if best management practices (BMPs) identified in the SWPPP are being implemented properly and are achieving the objectives of the general industrial storm water permit.

Storm water sampling and sample preservation is done in accordance with EPA standard methods described in Title 40 CFR, Part 136. Samples are analyzed to identify the following parameters, as required by the general industrial storm water permit:

- pH
- total suspended solids
- oil and grease
- tritium
- cyanide
- specific conductance
- nitrate/nitrite
- metals (As, Cd, Pb, Hg, Ag, Zn, Al, Fe, Mg, Se)
- ammonia
- chemical oxygen demand

Storm water normally is sampled twice during wet seasons, as specified in the general industrial storm water permit. In some years it is not possible to get two samples from each location. This may be due to low rainfall, timing of the storms (during off-work hours), or lack of sampling personnel.

Duplicate samples are collected at random outfalls during each storm in which a sample is collected. Blank samples also are collected to assess the potential for sample contamination.

### 1.3.4 Groundwater

The migration of pollutants to groundwater could expose the public if the contaminated groundwater is used for drinking water or irrigation. The primary area of groundwater recharge on the Sandia site is the Arroyo Seco. Pollutants that could be released to the Arroyo are metals, pesticides, and priority pollutants (organics). The Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan, details groundwater monitoring at the Sandia site.

DOE/EH-01735T provides guidance on the type of groundwater monitoring DOE facilities should be doing. Groundwater monitoring requirements also are specified by RWQCB Orders 88-142 and 89-184 and subsequent letters from the RWQCB.

### 1.3.4.1 Environmental Restoration/Long Term Environmental Stewardship

As discussed in Section 1.5.4 there is one area of known groundwater contamination on the SNL/California site, the Fuel Oil Spill Site (FOS). The site is being monitored for natural biodegradation of the diesel. Currently SNL/California is required to monitor two wells at the FOS for TPH-diesel. It is anticipated that the monitoring of the FOS will continue indefinitely. This monitoring comprises the Long Term Environmental Stewardship (LTES) at the
SNL/California site. SNL/California also identified an inactive landfill onsite, the Navy Landfill (NLF). The NLF is a closed site but SNL/California continues to monitor one well (NLF-6) for carbon tetrachloride. Carbon tetrachloride concentrations have been detected in the groundwater. For comparison, the concentrations exceeded the State’s drinking water maximum contaminant level (MCL), but do not exceed the Federal MCL.

All remedial actions were completed at the Trudell Auto Repair Shop site, located in the Buffer Zone area, in 1990. SNL/CA discontinued sampling a LLNL monitoring well (MW-406) near the Trudell site. SNL/CA will continue to review and report LLNL monitoring results at MW-406 to ensure no contaminants have been release to the groundwater.

Monitoring wells located at each of these sites are shown in Figure 1-7.

1.3.4.2 Surveillance Monitoring

SNL/California has established four monitoring wells along the Arroyo Seco. One of these wells is upgradient of the site (for background sampling), and the other three are downgradient (for indicator sampling).

Each quarter, a sampling team collects groundwater samples from as many as 7 monitoring wells across the site, including both Long Term Environmental Surveillance and Surveillance Monitoring wells (some wells may not be sampled if the well does not contain enough water). Sample containers, with the appropriate preservatives already added, are provided by the contract laboratory used to perform the analyses. Groundwater samples are stored on ice while in transit to the analytical laboratory.
Figure 1-7 Groundwater monitoring wells on the SNL/California site
2 Program Drivers

SNL/California is required to meet all Federal, State, and local regulations, and DOE Orders concerning protection of the environment. DOE Order 450.1 replaces DOE Order 5400.1 in establishing the requirement to implement sound stewardship practices that are protective of the air, water, and land resources impacted by DOE operations. The *Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance* (DOE/EH-01735T) specifies the elements needed for an adequate environmental monitoring program at DOE sites. This guide provides generic performance criteria for protecting the public and the environment. It specifies actions, equipment, and operating methods DOE facilities should use to assure compliance with Federal regulations and DOE policy.

The following sections list the rules and regulations governing environmental monitoring.

2.1 Department of Energy Requirements

The following DOE Orders apply to SNL/California’s environmental monitoring activities:

1. Order 450.1, *Environmental Protection Program*-establishes environmental protection requirements, authorities, and responsibilities.
4. Order 0 231.1A, *Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting*-ensures collection, reporting, analysis, and dissemination of information on environment, safety, and health that is required by law or regulation, or that is essential for evaluating DOE operations.

2.2 Federal Regulations

The following Federal regulations apply to SNL/California’s environmental monitoring activities:

**Title 10, Energy:**

1. Title 10 CFR, Part 835, *Radiological Protection*-regulates radiological does to workers at DOE facilities.
2. Title 10 CFR, Part 830.120, *Quality Assurance*-regulates quality assurance activities at DOE facilities.

**Clean Water Act, as amended:**

1. Title 40 CFR, Parts 112, *Oil Pollution Prevention and Response; Non-Transportation-Related Onshore and Offshore Facilities; Final Rule*-regulates the above ground fuel tanks.
2. Title 40 CFR, Parts 122-25, *National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)* - regulates the discharge of liquid effluents into bodies of water, including storm water discharge.


4. Title 40 CFR, Part 403, *General Pretreatment Regulations for Existing and New Sources of Pollution* - establishes the government’s responsibility to prevent the discharge of waste that would reduce the treatment efficiency of a Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW).

5. Title 40 CFR, Part 433, *Metal Finishing Point Source Category* - establishes discharge standards for metal finishing operations; SNL/California has two processes that fall into this category, but only one discharges to the sanitary sewer.

6. Title 49 CFR 469.12(2) establishes discharge standards for semiconductor operations.

### 2.3 California Regulations

The following State regulations, among others, apply to SNL/California’s environmental monitoring activities:

1. Title 23 CCR, Division 3, Chapter 1, *State Water Resources Control Board and Regional Water Quality Control Boards* - establishes the authority and procedures of the boards; adopts EPA standards (Title 40 CFR, Part 122-125) for NPDES permitting and reportable quantities of hazardous materials.

2. Title 23 CCR, Division 3, Chapter 9, *Designation, Reportable Quantities, and Notification* - defines the standards for detection and monitoring associated with waste management units.

3. Title 17 CCR, *Public Health*, Chapter 5, Subchapter 4, “Radiation”- covers the form and function of the California Department of Health Services (DHS), and regulations for the implementation of State environmental acts.

4. Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 - prohibits any chemical that the State of California certifies as a known carcinogen or reproductive toxin from being discharged into an actual or potential source of drinking water; requires the Governor to publish and annually update a list of chemicals determined to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity; and requires employers to notify workers of the presence and potential exposure to chemicals on the list.

### 2.4 Local Regulations

The following are the principal local regulations that apply to SNL/California’s environmental monitoring activities:

1. City of Livermore, Municipal Code Section 13.32, *General Discharge Prohibitions*— contains regulations concerning wastewater discharges to the sanitary sewer, including processes covered by the Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards and groundwater discharges from site remediation activities. This section also contains limitations of discharge of specific pollutants.
2. City of Livermore, Municipal Code Section 13.45, Storm Water Management and Control Program—contains regulations controlling storm water discharge to the municipal storm drain system. As a federal facility outside the City boundary, Sandia/California is not regulated by the City’s local stormwater program, however, the site strives to remain a good environmental citizen in its local community.

### 2.5 Legal Changes and Modifications to Program

The Federal Regulations in December 1999 expanded the existing NPDES permit program from regulating stormwater discharges from construction sites that disturb 5 acres or more to including stormwater discharges from construction sites disturbing 1 acre or more. The constructions sites that fell into the 1 acre to 5 acres of land disturbance (small construction sites) were incorporated into the California SWRCB permitting program by March 2003.

Federal Regulations 40 CFR part 112 were revised July 2002. The new regulations required sites to amend current Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plans to ensure compliance with the new regulations by February 17, 2006. The Plan and new requirements must be implemented by August 18, 2006.

On October 14, 2005 EPA published in the Federal Register final changes to its General Pretreatment Regulations. The final “pretreatment streamlining” rule is designed to reduce the burden to users and provide more flexibility in requirements affecting industrial users, municipal sewage treatment facilities and state and federal regulators. The pretreatment streamlining rule makes revisions to 40 CFR 403. The LWRP may choose to make revisions to its Pretreatment Program, and subsequently SNL/CA wastewater discharge permit, based on the new streamlining rule.

Change 2 of DOE O 450.1 Environmental Protection Program is dated December 7, 2005. The most significant change in the Order was the addition of Attachment 3: Pollution Prevention and Sustainable Environmental Stewardship Goals. These goals do not directly require any Program changes. However, as active participants in the site’s environmental stewardship, Program staff will continue to look for ways to integrate pollution prevention and sustainable environmental stewardship into site operations.

### 2.6 Process to Maintain Legal Requirements

Sandia Corporate Legal department is responsible for informing the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead of any changes in federal regulations that would affect one of the program areas. In addition, Program staff include consultants who, as part of other projects with municipal stormwater programs and Publicly Owned Treatment Works and regular interaction with regulatory agency staff, keep abreast of federal, state and local changes in regulations. Program staff also maintain memberships in professional associations pertinent to the Environmental Monitoring Program (e.g. the California Water Environment Association).
3 Operational Controls

The Environmental Monitoring Program uses technical work documents, administrative and engineered controls, and specialized equipment as operational controls. Table 3-1 lists the technical work documents applicable to Environmental Monitoring Program operations. They include the corporate ES&H manual, operating procedures, preliminary hazard screening documents, hazard assessments, and other site-specific requirements. Fume hoods are used as engineered controls to minimize contact with hazardous chemicals used to preserve samples. Administrative controls include signs stating that only authorized personnel shall access potentially hazardous areas, such as the LECS pits.

3.1 Operating Procedures

Environmental monitoring activities are described and documented in the Operating Procedures listed in Table 3-1. In addition to monitoring activities Environmental Monitoring Program staff have developed several documents to address quality control, data review and management practices for the portions of the environmental monitoring system under SNL/California’s direct control.
### Table 3-1 Program Technical Work Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ES&amp;H Manual</th>
<th>Chapter 10 H</th>
<th>Discharges to the Sanitary Sewer System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 10 K</td>
<td>Underground Storage Tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 10 N</td>
<td>Discovering and Reporting a Potential Past Waste Release Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 10 T</td>
<td>Surface and Storm Water Discharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 17 E</td>
<td>Radionuclide National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Hazard Screen (PHS)</th>
<th>SNL8A00186-010</th>
<th>Environmental Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Procedures</th>
<th>Sanitary Sewer Monitoring</th>
<th>Sanitary Sewer Outfall Monitoring (OP471410)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incident Reporting (OP471608)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Categorical Process Monitoring (OP471409)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liquid Effluent Control System Operation and Monitoring</td>
<td>968 Liquid Effluent Containment System (OP471097)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>906 Liquid Effluent Control System (LECS) (OP471452)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>910 Liquid Effluent Control System (LECS) (OP471453)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>916 Liquid Effluent Control System (LECS) (OP471454)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>941 Liquid Effluent Control System (LECS) (OP471455)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Operating Procedure for In-Field Metals Analysis (OP471790)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-stormwater Discharge Visual Observations (OP471089)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Discharge Visual Observations (OP471090)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Storm Water Sampling and Analysis (OP471091)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation (OP471724)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preventative Maintenance of Storm Drain System (OP471791)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Storm Drain Spill Prevention and Control (OP471741)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quarterly Replacement of Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (OP471305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td>Groundwater Sampling (OP471701)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Programmatic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Program Database (OP471716)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data Validation and Verification for the Environmental Operations (OP471131)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data Analysis for the Environmental Surveillance Program and Wastewater/Stormwater Program (OP471304)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control of Samples by the Environmental Operations Department (OP471310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonconformance Reporting, Form Logging and Tracking (OP471411)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Laboratory Procedures

Accurate laboratory analyses are critical to any environmental monitoring program. SNL/California’s laboratory analyses include an appropriate number of blanks, duplicates, and spiked pseudosamples in order to assess accuracy and precision.

Contract laboratories used by SNL/California also must be accredited under either the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP), or by the State of California’s Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP), or both. To receive accreditation, a laboratory must implement a quality assurance plan. These laboratories are periodically inspected by the California Environmental Protection Agency to ensure that they are operating within regulatory and quality assurance requirements. Sandia personnel do not audit the laboratories.

SNL/California performs the tritium analyses of storm water. The SNL/CA Health Physics Laboratory follows the guidance in the SNL Radiation Protection and Laboratory Services Quality Plan (SNL 2002), and meets the Sandia and DOE quality criteria.

The following sections summarize the analyses done on samples from each of the environmental media. More detailed information is available in the referenced procedures.

3.2.1 External Radiation

TLDs collected by SNL/California personnel are processed by the Health Instrumentation Department at SNL/New Mexico following established protocols and quality assurance/quality control requirements under the SNL Radiation Protection and Laboratory Services Quality Plan (SNL 2002). These TLDs are stored in a lead shield until they are processed. The readout data are analyzed with software that allows the systematic and uniform processing of data for each location. The net field results are compared to the calibration values. This comparison yields the field exposure in microroentgen (µR) per hour.

The TLDs collected by LLNL personnel are processed by LLNL’s Hazards Control Department using automated equipment. The TLDs are stored in a lead shield until they are processed.

3.2.2 Storm Water Runoff

The SNL/California Health and Safety Protection Department analyzes tritium samples by liquid scintillation counting, a standard technique for tritium analysis.

The non-radiological samples are sent to a State-certified contract laboratory, where they are processed according to EPA standards.
3.2.3 Groundwater

Groundwater samples from the monitoring well sites are sent to a State-certified commercial laboratory for analysis. They are processed according to EPA methods. The analyses performed are (depending on the location) volatile organics, semi-volatile organics, title 22 CCR organics, diesel, minerals, metals (As, Ba, Be, Cd, Cr, Pb, Hg, Se, Ag), and tritium.

3.2.4 Liquid Effluent Control Systems

Samples from the LECS may be sent to a State-certified laboratory for analysis. The samples are analyzed using appropriate EPA methods. Analyses are performed for regulated constituents used in the process generating the effluent.

The contents of the Bldg. 961 LECS are analyzed for radionuclides, metals, cyanide, volatiles, and semi-volatiles as indicated by process knowledge.

3.2.5 Sanitary Sewer

A State-certified contract laboratory using standard EPA methods conducts all metals, organics, and physical analyses.

4 Documents Produced

4.1 Data Management

Environmental monitoring data from the LECS analyses, storm water analyses, direct radiation, and sewer outfall analyses are kept in an electronic database. The ES&H Records Center also keeps a hard-copy file.

4.2 Reports and Permits

Table 4-1 lists the permits held by SNL/California for discharge of effluents, the category for each discharge, the regulatory agency and regulations governing each discharge, and the permit status. Table 4-2 lists other documents and reports generated by the Environmental Monitoring Program.
### Table 4-1 SNL/California Environmental Permits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Regulation/Authority</th>
<th>Permit Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Discharge</td>
<td>City Ordinance, City of Livermore</td>
<td>Permit for the site sanitary and industrial wastewater discharge; Permit renewed annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Water Discharge</td>
<td>Clean Water Act (Title 40 CFR 122-124), EPA National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, State Water Resources Control Board, Regional Water Quality Control Board, City Ordinance, City of Livermore</td>
<td>SNL/California has a Notice of Intent (NOI) on file with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). As a result, Sandia is covered by the State’s National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Discharge of Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activities. Permit renewed every five years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Underground Storage Tank | RCRA and California Health and Safety Code                                              | Renewed annually with Alameda County Environmental Health Department                                                                    |
| Above-ground Storage Tank | Title 40 CFR 112, RCRA and California Health and Safety Code                           | Renewed every 2 years with SWRCB                                                                                                       |

### Table 4-2 Environmental Monitoring Program Documents and Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Frequency of Distribution</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Required by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Discharge Permit Application</td>
<td>6/4/2005</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical Process Report</td>
<td>Jan. 20, July 20</td>
<td>Semi-annual</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan (SPCC)</td>
<td>8/1/2009</td>
<td>Every 5 years</td>
<td>DOE/SSO</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Discharge Report</td>
<td>20th of each month</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spill/Slug Plan</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>Every 2 years</td>
<td>DOE/SSO</td>
<td>City of Livermore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater Protection Management Program Plan</td>
<td>12/1/2005</td>
<td>Every 3-5 years</td>
<td>DOE/SSO</td>
<td>DOE/SSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater General Industrial Permit</td>
<td>Renewed July 1997</td>
<td>One time only</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan</td>
<td>Continually updated</td>
<td>Reviewed annually</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Discharge Report</td>
<td>7/1/2005</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESHAPs Letter Report</td>
<td>6/30/2005</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>EPA Region IX</td>
<td>EPA Region IX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Environmental Monitoring Program provides input to the Annual Site Environmental Report. This report summarizes all environmental monitoring data and all environmental activities at the SNL/California site. This report is the only mechanism for reporting groundwater and external radiation data.

4.3 Document Control

DOE Environmental Regulatory Guide ES-0173T requires that auditable records of the environmental surveillance and effluent monitoring programs be maintained.\(^3\) The records are to include calculations, computer programs, procedures, and raw data.

SNL/California’s Quality Assurance of Data, Documents, and Select Activities of the Environmental, Safety, and Health Departments, 8516 and 8517 includes details of a document control system. The system includes guidance on safeguarding, handling, and archiving documents. Each Department is responsible for determining which of its records are to be classified as Quality Assurance Records.

The Site Operations Center has established a Document Control Center. Procedures have been written for document submittal, storage, and use.

All environmental monitoring plans, procedures, and data were designated quality assurance records during the data archiving process.

5 Approved Job Descriptions, Qualifications, and Job Specific Training

The Environmental Monitoring Program staff consists of the Program Lead, an Environmental Operations Technician and two contractors, one senior and one associate level, that equal one part time employee. Program staff rely on additional help from other department staff to conduct stormwater sampling. Stormwater sampling locations on site are extensive (10 locations with duplicate and blank samples) and the sampling is time critical (permit requirements to attempt sampling during the first hour of discharge, sampling all locations during the same storm event, and time limitations on transporting samples to the contract laboratory). The program staff assignments and responsibilities are described below. Personnel assignments are presented in Appendix A.

5.1 Environmental Monitoring Program Lead

The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead generally is responsible for managing and overseeing operations and monitoring, administering permits, reporting requirements, final review of analytical data and developing special studies as needed (e.g. satellite sewer sampling, emergent chemical groundwater sampling, etc.). Specifically the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead is responsible for:

- managing and overseeing sewer outfall operations that include administering permit and reporting requirements. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead is responsible for
addressing significant changes in the discharge parameters, and for investigating potential source(s) of pollutants in the wastewater, and identifying the actions necessary to prevent recurrence. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead is also responsible for final review of analytical results.

- managing and overseeing LECS operations, which include administering permit and reporting requirements and authorizing the discharge of LECS wastewater. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead is responsible for investigating the source of unexpected pollutants in the LECS wastewater and identifying the actions necessary to prevent the reoccurrence of the discharge. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead also coordinates the disposal of the wastewater if it is unsuitable for discharge to the sanitary sewer system.

- implementing the groundwater monitoring operations, which include reporting requirements. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead is responsible for final review of analytical results.

- initiating activities to identify the source of non-stormwater and other pollutant discharges. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead assists Environmental Operations Technicians with the collection of stormwater samples. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead works with site organizations to prevent pollutant discharge to storm drains.

- The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead also has the responsibility for the environmental restoration program at SNL/California. In this capacity, the Program Lead is responsible for addressing any erosion issues at the NLF, and continuing monitoring of the Fuel Oil Spill site.

- determining the locations of the site TLDs. The Program lead is also responsible for obtaining and analyzing results from the laboratory, completing non-conformance reports, preparing data for the Annual Environmental Report, and archiving data.

- managing and overseeing the data collection process. The EM Program Lead is responsible for final review of all data from the above mentioned programs.

- evaluating, trending, archiving and ensuring the quality of data from the analytical laboratories including radiological monitoring data from Health Physics.

- entering the electronic laboratory results into the Environmental Monitoring database and making periodic back-ups of the database. The Environmental Monitoring Program Lead is responsible for assuring the consistency and quality of the data in the database.

### 5.2 Environmental Operations Technologist

The Environmental Operations Technician is generally responsible for sampling activities, maintaining records, such as analytical data and logs, maintaining equipment, preparing samples for shipment and informing the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead of any unusual condition, situation or possible violations of limits. These responsibilities are included for all program areas, LECS, sewer outfall, groundwater, stormwater, TLDs and any special studies being conducted, such as the satellite sewer sampling and potable water sampling. Specifically the Environmental Operations Technician is responsible for:

- conducting the routine operations and monitoring activities for the LECS, including sampling and maintaining any LECS records such as analytical data, sampling logs and
pH meter calibration records. The Technician is also responsible for preparing the samples for shipment. It is the responsibility of the Environmental Operations Technicians to advise the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead of any unusual condition or situation that could require the shut down of the LECS.

- conducting the quarterly sampling of the groundwater monitoring wells, and maintenance of all groundwater monitoring equipment. The Technician is also responsible for preparing the samples for shipment. It is the responsibility of the Environmental Operations Technicians to advise the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead of any unusual condition or situation that could affect the groundwater monitoring or reporting requirements.

- conducting the weekly sampling of the sewer outfall, maintaining all sewage monitoring equipment, and keeping an inventory of supplies at the sanitary sewer monitoring facility. In addition, the Technician is responsible for initial review of the analytical results and notifying the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead of potential violations of permit limits or other unusual conditions. Finally, the Technician is responsible for maintaining records such as log books, analytical data, and calibration records.

- performing stormwater visual inspections, collecting the stormwater samples, notifying the contract laboratory of the potentially large sample load, completing the sampling documentation (i.e. stormwater sampling event form, sampling log book, and chain of custody form), and maintaining documentation. The Technician is also responsible for preparing the samples for shipment. The technician is also responsible for informing the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead of 1) any evidence observed while conducting the sampling that may indicate a non-stormwater or other pollutant discharge and 2) any evidence of erosion at the (NLF) area.

- distributing and collecting TLDs, completing chain-of-custody forms, and shipping TLDs to SNL/NM;

- supporting Environmental Planning with wildlife biology activities;

- inspect construction sites for proper operation and maintenance of BMPs

### 5.3 Environmental Monitoring Senior Contract Engineer

The Environmental Monitoring Senior Engineer Contractor generally provides guidance to the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead on regulatory requirements and implementation, provides draft regulatory reports, updated or new operating procedures, reports and other program documentation. As needed, the Senior Engineer Contractor assists with stormwater inspections, groundwater sampling, stormwater sampling, and other monitoring activities. The Senior Engineer Contractor coordinates the workload of the Associate Engineer Contractor.

### 5.4 Environmental Monitoring Associate Contract Engineer

The Environmental Monitoring Associate Engineer Contractor assists with developing regulatory reports, updated or new operating procedures, reports and other program documentation. As needed, the Associate Engineer Contractor assists with stormwater inspections, groundwater sampling, stormwater sampling, and other monitoring activities. The Associate Engineer Contractor assists with implementation of regulatory program elements.
5.5 Staff Qualifications

Environmental Monitoring Program personnel have a responsibility to effectively implement environmental requirements and objectives in a range of areas including stormwater, wastewater, groundwater, radioactivity and above ground fuel storage tanks. Staff must have a knowledge of environmental monitoring and environmental regulations and be able to work with disciplines across all site operations. Environmental Monitoring Program personnel must meet the following qualifications.

Table 5-1 Environmental Monitoring Program Staff Qualifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Operations Technologist</td>
<td>AA and/or five years of relevant</td>
<td>environmental, science, or engineering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>environmental experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Program Lead</td>
<td>BS and/or five years of relevant</td>
<td>environmental, science, or engineering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>environmental experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Certification</td>
<td>Professional Certification (e.g.</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Certified Environmental Auditor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or Registered Environmental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manager from the National Registry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of Environmental Professionals)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Senior/Associate</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>environmental, science, or engineering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Engineer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>environmental, science, or engineering</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental Certification or</td>
<td>Professional Registration (e.g. Civil Engineer,</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Engineering Certification</td>
<td>Geologist, etc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6 Training

All program staff must meet all required corporate training.

All personnel who conduct stormwater sampling and wet weather observations receive annual training before the start of the wet season (October) by an Environmental Monitoring Program staff. Training records are kept by the Environmental Monitoring Program.

The Program Lead and the Environmental Operations Technician responsible for conducting the groundwater sampling must have, at least, 24 hour Hazwoper training.

Other job specific training required is offered by the Corporate Education Development and Training (CEDT) program. The table below presents all of the activity-specific required and recommended training. The Environmental Operations Technician, Program Lead and any other personnel providing backup for the specific program activities below must have the required training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Requirement</th>
<th>Training Method</th>
<th>Environmental Monitoring Program Lead</th>
<th>Environmental Operations Technologist</th>
<th>Environmental Monitoring Senior</th>
<th>Environmental Monitoring Contract</th>
<th>Environmental Monitoring Associate Contract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater sampling and wet weather observations</td>
<td>Classroom</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hour HAZWOPER</td>
<td>Commercially available</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED 113 Bloodborne Pathogens</td>
<td>SNL classroom</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio 105 CA Biosafety in microbiological and biomedical laboratories at SNL/CA</td>
<td>SNL classroom</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENV 233 SNL/CA Hazardous Waste Generator Training</td>
<td>SNL classroom</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPP 105CA Fall Protection and Prevention¹</td>
<td>SNL classroom</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNF 105 Confined Space Awareness</td>
<td>SNL classroom</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNF 107 Confined Space Entry</td>
<td>SNL classroom, field</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD 102 General Employee Radiological Training</td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FKL 153 Forklift Operator Training</td>
<td>Classroom, hands-on</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ 1011 Employee Basic Hazcom</td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ 103 Site-specific Hazcom</td>
<td>Classroom</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EM Program staff are working with safety staff to determine if this course is required for certain stormwater sampling locations during sampling or automatic sampler set-up.
6 Performance Measures

Environmental Management System (EMS) environmental targets and objectives were identified for the Environmental Monitoring Program in March of 2005. The performance measures are used to indicate the degree of success in meeting those targets. The targets for the Environmental Monitoring Program were:

- 100% of new construction will have post-construction runoff coefficients equal to or less than pre-construction runoff coefficients;
- 100% inspection/cleaning of on-site storm drain system including drop structure by October 1 of each year;
- Identify all materials requiring erosion control, and implement controls.
- Achieve concentrations of copper and zinc in the sanitary sewer of less than 30% of the discharge limit.
- Meet quality assurance criteria for accuracy, precision, completeness, comparability and representativeness of environmental monitoring data as detailed in Section 7.

Some of these targets require actions by other departments. Activities performed directly by Environmental Monitoring Program staff to reach the targets are:

- Create an appropriate set of BMPs to implement on future projects and provide to facilities so they can be incorporated into designs.
- Inspect construction sites for compliance with stormwater regulations.
- Work with facilities to develop implementation methods for targets.

In October 2005 Environmental Monitoring staff met with Facilities Department staff to present stormwater educational information and BMPs. At this presentation they received a *Post-Construction Best Management Practices* binder compiled by Environmental Monitoring Staff and the Alameda County Clean Water Program *Protecting Water Quality in Development Projects: A Guidebook of Post-Construction BMP Examples* (August 2005).

The Environmental Monitoring Program has performance measures that are continuously used to assess the performance and effectiveness of the program. The measures are:

- Meet all regulatory monitoring requirements (GW, WW, SW)
- Meet regulatory report due dates (semi-annual categorical report, wastewater permit application, annual stormwater report, monthly wastewater discharge reports)
- Direct involvement with staff from Maintenance or Engineering and Planning departments about SW issues.
- Meet quality assurance accuracy, precision and completeness goals
- Compliance with stormwater BMP requirements in the site’s Industrial SWPPP
- Compliance with wastewater permit limits at the outfall

Currently the Program is meeting all monitoring requirements, and regulatory report due dates. The quality assurance accuracy, precision and completeness goals will be reported for calendar year 2005 in the Annual Site Environmental Report. The site is currently in compliance with wastewater discharge permit limits. The most recent quarterly non-stormwater discharge visual inspection of the site showed only minor issues that were immediately corrected. Program staff
continue to have direct communication with maintenance and engineering staff through IDT meetings, direct phone calls and presentations to department staff.

The Environmental Monitoring Program also uses metrics to show progress in achieving goals. These metrics are updated on the Environmental Management webpage. The following four graphs present water use, sanitary sewer flow, and sewer water copper and zinc concentrations per year.

**Figure 6-1 Water Use Metrics**

![Water Use Metrics Graph]

**Figure 6-2 Sewer Flow Metrics**

![Sewer Flow Metrics Graph]
7 Quality Assurance

7.1 Program Risk Assessment

The Environmental Monitoring Program performed a risk assessment (Appendix B) as part of the decision making process to determine the appropriate level of formality required for Program
activities. It was determined that the risk associated with the Environmental Monitoring Program was the risk of a hazardous material spill getting into the sanitary sewer, storm drain, or groundwater. The source of the hazardous material could be from an accidental spill, an intentional discharge, or through discovery of a site contaminated by previous site operations. The overall risk from intentional discharges and discovery of past contamination was determined to be low. The risk from an accidental spill was determined to be medium. Measures taken by the Environmental Monitoring Program to mitigate this risk are 1) the provision of secondary containment pallets for chemicals stored outdoors, 2) the requirement that all chemical containers greater than one liter be stored in secondary containment in laboratories, and 3) annual site stormwater inspections.

7.2 Sample Analyses

SNL/California has established criteria for the acceptability of environmental monitoring data in the Operating Procedure for Data Validation and Verification for the Environmental Monitoring Program. This procedure contains methods for determining the accuracy, precision, completeness, comparability, and representativeness of the data. In general, the following methods apply to Environmental Monitoring Program sampling activities:

- **Accuracy** is assessed through analysis of samples that have been spiked with the analyte of interest (spiked samples), standard reference materials, or interlaboratory comparison samples. The analytical results are compared to the known value of the spiked sample or standard reference material.
- **Precision** of the combined sampling and analysis effort is assessed through collection and analysis of duplicate samples. Data sets of routine samples are compared to data sets of duplicate samples. Recognizing that the uncertainty of analytical results increases as the detection limit is approached, we base the acceptance criteria, in part, on the pollutant concentration. Precision of the analytical effort only is assessed in the laboratory by the use of split samples.
- **Completeness** of the data is assured by careful planning of the sampling locations and frequency. Close attention is also paid to the reliability of the sampling equipment used. Completeness is evaluated by comparison of the number of samples collected to the number planned to be collected.
- **Comparability** is assured by using proceduralized sample collection methods and using standardized analytical methods.
- **Representativeness** is secured by careful selection of the sample collection methods and analytical methods to assure you are measuring what you want to measure.

To assure that the data generated by the monitoring program may be compared to data of other monitoring systems, EPA methods are used, when available, for collecting and analyzing samples. When EPA methods or guidance are not available, Sandia develops its own methods. These methods are documented and provided, as requested, to the agencies receiving reports to aid in interpretation of the data. For further discussion see Laboratory Procedures, Section 3.6 above.
7.3 Environmental Sampling

Sample collection methods assure that the samples represent, as much as possible, the environmental medium being monitored. Considerations include the spatial and temporal variability of the medium or the pollutant of concern within the medium. If EPA- or DOE-approved criteria for sample locations exist, they are used.

Protocols for environmental sampling at SNL/CA are contained in activity specific operating procedures. Elements of these protocols include appropriate sampling methods and equipment; sampling frequency; sampling locations; and sample handling, storage and packaging. Chain-of-custody protocols are also used to ensure quality control through proper transfer of samples from the point of collection to the analytical laboratory.

All analytical data reports are reviewed by the Program Lead and documented on the Chemical Analysis Report Verification Record Form. All stormwater inspection reports are reviewed by the Program Lead and documented on the Stormwater Inspection Report Tracking Form. The internal requirements for filling out this documentation are described in the applicable operating procedures.

Non-conformances, such as the failure to collect a scheduled sample, are documented in the Environmental Program Non-conformance Report Log.

7.4 Quality Control Samples

Types of quality control samples prepared for the Environmental Monitoring Program include field duplicates, spiked, trip blanks and field blank samples. A definition of each sample type follows.

*Duplicate samples* are collected at the same time and location, and follow the same method, as a routine sample. These samples are used to assess the precision of sample collection and analytical processes.

*Spiked samples* resemble a routine sample, but contain a known amount of one or more of the constituents of interest. These samples are obtained from an independent laboratory that certifies the concentration of the constituents.

*Blank samples* resemble a routine sample matrix (e.g. deionized water is used for blank water samples), but lack the constituents of interest. These samples are used to assess background levels of constituents, and possible contamination of the samples in the laboratory or in the field.

SNL/CA’s goal for number of quality control samples is 20% of the total sample load, where feasible. This includes quality control samples initiated at the laboratory.

7.5 Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses are used to determine completeness, precision, and accuracy of monitoring and surveillance data. Prior to performing statistical analyses, the data is normalized to ensure that valid results are obtained. Descriptions of the statistical tests follow.
Completeness is evaluated by determining the ratio between the number of samples collected and the number of samples scheduled for collection. The data quality objective for completeness is 85%.

Precision is evaluated using three methods: determining the ratio between routine and duplicate samples; tests of significant difference; and calculating the 95% confidence interval. Data quality objectives vary for precision depending on the results of laboratory analyses.

Accuracy is also evaluated using three methods: determining the ratio between sample results and known values of spiked samples; tests of significant difference; and calculating the 95% confidence interval. Data quality objectives vary for accuracy depending on the results of laboratory analyses.

8 Program Assessments

For the Environmental Operations Department the following two program assessments are performed annually for each environmental program:

8.1 Program Self Assessment
The Program Self Assessment is an annual effort to determine the completeness, quality and efficiency of the program structure and management. It is also used to determine the alignment of the program with ISO14001 EMS requirements and principles.

The objective of this assessment is to assure that the program provides all of the required elements and continually strives for areas of improvement. This assessment includes a review of all procedures, processes, technical work documents, web pages, publications, communications, etc. of the program to assure that they are streamlined, accurate and current. The Programmatic Document Review Form is used to document this part of the self assessment, as referenced in the Quality Assurance of Data, Documents and Select Activities of the Environmental, Safety and Health Departments, 8516 and 8517.

In 2005 the Environmental Monitoring Program focused on LECS Equipment for the Self Assessment Program Area. The results were reported in the November 22, 2005 Environment, Safety, and Health Assessment Report: Environmental Monitoring Program Self-Assessment Summary Report. There were no findings identified in the self-assessment (see Appendix C). There were several observations/recommendations identified. Table 8-1 documents the resolution status of these items. In addition to the observations and recommendations there were three investment opportunities that were identified. These are:

- Move all of the pump controls, readouts and sink for the 968 LECS under a shelter to be consistent with all the other LECS facilities.
- Add a ventilation system at the 968 LECS to be consistent with all the other LECS facilities.
- Replace level indicators at 916 and 941 LECS.
Table 8-1 LECS Equipment Self Assessment Observations/Recommendations Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observations/Recommendations</th>
<th>Status (at time of publication)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Label 941 LECS tank inlet valves T-1 &amp; T-2</td>
<td>Valves labeled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update 968 LECS operating procedure to reflect the new equipment installed</td>
<td>968 LECS Operating Procedure updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910, 916 &amp; 941 LECS tank agitators may be added to the Preventative Maintenance schedule</td>
<td>After review of product manuals and discussions with equipment representatives, the agitators will not be added to the PM schedule. There are no PM activities that can be performed on the agitators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is no equipment manual for the 916 LECS tank level indicator</td>
<td>This is deemed low priority. This equipment may be replaced in the next year or two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation for LECS tank inspections by an outside contractor</td>
<td>Next inspection for older LECS tanks in five years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispose ion exchange unit</td>
<td>Working with Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization group to determine options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve accessibility to SPCC Training Page</td>
<td>SPCC training is now accessible from TEDS/Corporate Training and Environmental Monitoring Program web pages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2 Line Performance Assessment

The Program Line Implementation Assessment is an annual effort to determine how well the line or site is implementing the requirements of the program or supporting specific program-related objectives/targets. The success or failure of the line or site to implement program requirements can be attributed to many things: culture, line management support, communications, program management, etc. (Note: Poor program implementation by the line may not necessarily indicate poor program management or execution, but the Program Lead will consider whether these are contributing factors and take appropriate action.)

Significant line violations to program requirements that are discovered during this assessment are entered into the ES&H Self Assessment database for communications and tracking. The assessment is for the “big picture” and not just conducted to find violations. The completed finding form is submitted to the Division 8000 ES&H coordinator for entry into the self-assessment tracking system.

In conducting these assessments the Program Lead makes every effort to align with the scheduled Line Self Assessments conducted by the ES&H Coordinators. This minimizes the disruption to the line and gains the manager’s attention.

Stormwater and wastewater assessments were performed during 2005. The results of these assessments are as follows:

- A discharge of non-contact closed loop cooling water to the storm drain was found during April 2005. This occurred when maintenance personnel discharged the water to a covered drain labeled “sanitary sewer” outside the building. This drain was incorrectly labeled and discharged to the storm drain system. This issue was resolved.
by testing all of the outside drains in the area. The mislabeled, covered storm drains were relabeled with the stencil “No Dumping, Drains to Arroyo”. In addition, the discharge line was re-routed to the sanitary sewer in early 2006.

- An annual assessment of material storage on-site. No issues concerning hazardous material storage were found. It was found that stockpiles of landscaping material, and stockpiles of excess building materials were not protected from erosion by stormwater. The Environmental Monitoring Program provided funds to the Facilities Maintenance Department to purchase tarps and filter rolls. These were then placed on and around the stockpiles prior to the commencement of the rain season.

8.3 Environmental Programs Representative Assessment
The Environmental Programs Representative performs and records informal assessments of line implementation of critical program elements. During 2005, the Environmental Monitoring Program Lead worked with the Environmental Programs Representative to develop the specific items to be assessed during these informal assessments. These were not formally scheduled but were conducted on an on-going basis as part of the EP Reps scope of duties. See OP472165.

8.4 Corporate Line Self Assessment
No issues were forwarded to the Environmental Monitoring Program from the Corporate Line Self Assessment Program during 2005.

9 Accomplishments
In the past year accomplishments for the Environmental Monitoring Program include:

- The Program completed the first Program Self-Assessment.
- Three LECS tank systems were upgraded with new tanks, and in one case, new tank configuration.
- The RWQCB agreed SNL/CA could discontinue sampling at groundwater monitoring well MW-406.
- The Program began discussions with Facilities Planning and Engineering Department to incorporate post-construction stormwater BMPs into new projects.
- As a result of the continued collaboration between the Program and Maintenance Department to clean cooling towers in a manner that does not impact sanitary sewer outfall copper concentrations, Maintenance Department purchased a small treatment unit for the cooling tower discharged water.

10 Trends
10.1 Stormwater
The stormwater program started in the early 1990s as part of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program, which had previously addressed point sources of
pollutants. Phase I of the program began by addressing stormwater discharges from medium and large municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), industrial activities and construction activities disturbing 5 acres or more. Most recently Phase II of the program began. Phase II addresses stormwater discharges from small MS4s, construction activities disturbing 1 acre or more and additional industrial activities. As the stormwater program has developed and matured the requirements and regulations have become stricter and encompass more areas.

SNL/CA is identified as a non-traditional small MS4 and may be notified in the near future to meet the requirements of the Small MS4 General Permit. The Small MS4 General Permit covers areas such as public participation, public education, construction activities, post-construction, illicit connection and discharge program and municipal maintenance activities. Previously the site was only required to address the areas of industrial activities and construction. This new permit will require the site’s stormwater program to expand into other areas.

Stormwater post construction requirements are becoming an integral part of stormwater requirements and regulations. Phase I municipal permits (including Alameda County) now include very prescriptive requirements for stormwater controls on new and redevelopment projects. Some post construction requirements are contained in Phase II small MS4s general permit and the construction activities general permit. As the Phase II program matures requirements may become as prescriptive and strict as the current Phase I requirements. The Phase II regulations will require more support from the site maintenance program in terms of a formal storm drain maintenance and cleaning program. This program was implemented during 2005. The new regulations will also require the Environmental Monitoring Program to work closely with the Facilities Engineering Department to assure that new or significantly remodeled buildings meet the requirements for post-construction runoff control.

The new draft industrial activities stormwater general permit currently has stricter requirements including comparing stormwater sampling results to numeric EPA benchmarks. Exceedence of the numeric benchmarks will trigger activities, evaluation and regulatory notification. There are also more requirements for inspections and sampling. Increased monitoring and sampling requirements will impact program manpower and resources. The California State Water Resources Control Board has established a committee to investigate the appropriateness of the use of numeric benchmarks in the Permit. This investigation has taken more than a year to complete.

The stormwater program in California has recently been under increased regulatory oversight. The U.S. EPA hired a consultant to perform audits on all Phase I MS4 stormwater programs and industrial sites under the general permit. The consultant has already performed over 1,000 industrial stormwater audits in the San Francisco Bay area. SNL/California may reasonable anticipate being audited in the near future.

10.2 Wastewater

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that states identify water bodies that do not meet water quality standards. The San Francisco Bay RWQCB has identified the following
water bodies and pollutants for which the Arroyo Seco is a tributary and the site’s treated wastewater is discharged to:

- Alameda Creek is listed for diazinon
- San Francisco Bay is listed for chlordane, DDT, diazinon, dieldrin, dioxin compounds, exotic species, furan compounds, mercury, PCBs, PCBs (dioxin like), and selenium.

The RWQCB is required to address these issues through Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs). TMDLs examine these water quality problems, identify sources of pollutants, and specify actions that create solutions. The RWQCB are currently working on TMDL reports and implementation plans for the pollutants of concern listed above. The final TMDL reports and implementation plans may directly affect Bay Area wastewater treatment plants’ Pretreatment and Pollution Prevention programs. New requirements or regulations for LWRP’s Pretreatment and Pollution Prevention Program may lead to stricter requirements or scrutiny for SNL/CA through their wastewater discharge permit. TMDL reports and implementation plans may also require additional requirements for area stormwater programs, for example, if TMDL limits are imposed, SNL/CA would need to develop the capability of measuring stormwater flow quantities.

10.3 Above-ground Tanks

Program staff recently updated the site’s Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan to meet new federal regulations. The new federal regulations, and the site’s SPCC Plan, require tank integrity testing for all above ground fuel tanks regulated under 40CFR112. The tank integrity testing is a new program requirement and will require additional funding to have a qualified contractor perform the testing on all of the appropriate tanks onsite. The requirements have been communicated to Management of the Facilities Maintenance Organization, which has the responsibility for integrity of the tanks. The Facilities Maintenance Organization is currently assessing whether to have the work performed by an outside company, or to acquire the necessary expertise and equipment within Sandia/CA. Funding for this requirement is also being sought.

10.4 Underground Tanks

During 2005, a decision was made by SNL/CA Management to remove the one Underground Storage Tank on-site. Preliminary engineering was performed in preparation for issuing a Request for Proposal for the tank removal. This process is expected to be completed during calendar year 2006.

11 Goals and Objectives

EMS environmental objectives for the Environmental Monitoring Program are:

- Reduce sewer water quantity.
- Improve sewer water quality.
- Reduce volume and velocity of stormwater runoff.
- Keep pollutants out of stormwater.
The EMS includes implementation of a watershed approach for surface water protection. The watershed approach is meant to have a geographic focus on the natural boundaries of a watershed, which may transcend departmental, programmatic and organizational boundaries of the site, using sound science. Many of the objectives and targets of the Environmental Monitoring Program support a watershed approach. The SNL/CA site lies within a single watershed. The site’s stormwater runoff drains to the Arroyo Seco. The Environmental Monitoring Program has broken down this watershed into subwatersheds referred to as drainage areas in the previous discussions. The Environmental Monitoring Program works with departments and personnel across the site on all levels to ensure protection of the Arroyo Seco water quality.

Environmental Monitoring Program goals and objectives for the next 1-3 years are:

- Incorporate new requirements when the Industrial Activities Stormwater General Permit is reissued. The draft revised permit currently has more visual inspection requirements, more sampling requirements, more stringent analysis of sample results and follow-up actions, and specific BMP requirements.
- Continue to implement Phase II Small MS4 Stormwater General Permit requirements in the Industrial Activities SWPPP.
- Improve environmental database system reliability (e.g. improving current database or working with SNL/NM to merge into their database)
- Obtain funding for SPCC tank integrity inspections.
- Complete the silver source investigation at the MANTL.
- Receive permission from the RWQCB to remove the NLF site, and specifically NLF-6 monitoring well, from the groundwater monitoring requirements.
- Increase integration of BMPs into new facilities projects.

Previous goals and objectives that have been met are:

- Replace LECS tanks and upgrade LECS systems.
- Obtain regulatory approval of the Arroyo Management Plan.
## Appendix A Personnel Assignments

### Environmental Monitoring Personnel Assignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
<th>Back-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Program Lead</td>
<td>Robert Holland</td>
<td>Mark Brynildson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Operations Technologist</td>
<td>John Chavarria</td>
<td>Robert Oteri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Senior Contract Engineer</td>
<td>Kristin Kerr</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Associate Contract Engineer</td>
<td>Den Thap</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13 Appendix B Environmental Monitoring Program Risk Assessment
Environmental Monitoring Program Risk Assessment

The risk assessment process for the Environmental Monitoring Program follows the general steps of
1. Identify the risk
2. Identify the probability of the event occurring
3. Identify the consequence if the event occurs.

The following tables will be used to assign a numeric value to the probabilities and consequence categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood/Probability Of Occurrence Level</th>
<th>Likelihood/Probability Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>• Everything points to this occurring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>• High chance • Lack of relevant processes or experience contribute to a high chance of occurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>• Even chance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>• Not much of a chance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>• Negligible chance this will occur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSEQUENCE/SEVERITY LEVEL</th>
<th>CONSEQUENCE/SEVERITY CRITERIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>damage (e.g., ozone depletion, rad soil contamination) • Serious environmental impact resulting in recovery actions lasting 5 years or more (e.g., TCE in aquifer) • Results in General Emergency (affects both onsite and offsite) • Unsatisfactory rating by external regulators or cease and desist order • Affects lab leadership, including prime contract • Actions, inactions or events that pose the most serious threats to national security interests and/or critical DOE assets, create serious security situations, or could result in deaths in the workforce or general public (i.e., IMI-1) • Actions, inactions or events that pose threats to national security interests and/or critical DOE assets or that potentially create dangerous situations (i.e., IMI-2) • Unallowable costs or fines &gt;$1M • Adverse public opinion – high interest/widespread open public attention or debate (lasting weeks to months) • Customer dissatisfaction results in permanent loss of lab customer • Catastrophic failure to meet internal requirements • Loss of major program within the division (&gt;=$10M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Medium
- Has the potential for adverse impact on Sandia’s programmatic performance or the achievement of corporate strategic or operational objectives
- Significant injury/illness - fully recoverable with a long recovery time
- Significant environmental impact resulting in recovery actions lasting up to 5 years (e.g., major oil spill)
- Results in Site/Area Emergency (affects multiple onsite facilities)
- One of regulator “hot buttons” (e.g., NNSA, NMED)
- Results in increased oversight of limited number of functions
- Actions, inactions, or events that pose threats to DOE security interests or that potentially degrade the overall effectiveness of DOE’s safeguards and security protection program (i.e., IMI-3)
- Unallowable costs or fines >$500K and <$1M
- Adverse public opinion – moderate interest, limited PR problems of short duration (days)
- Customer dissatisfaction results in partial loss of program
- Significant failure to meet internal requirements
- Loss of program within division (>=$1M)

### Low
- Minimal injury/illness – Fully recoverable with a short recovery time
- Minimal environmental impact that can be improved within days
- Results in increased short-term oversight
- Results in an Operational Emergency (affects a single onsite facility)
- Actions, inactions, or events that could pose threats to DOE by adversely impacting the ability of organizations to protect DOE safeguards and security interests (i.e., IMI-4)
- Unallowable costs or fines <$500K
- Adverse public opinion with short-term local negative publicity or embarrassment

### Negligible
- Little or no attention, might be discussed as lesson learned

The risk level will be graded according to the following matrix. Adapted from DOE O 471.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood of Occurrence</th>
<th>Consequence/Severity</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Risks Associated with the Environmental Monitoring Program

1. **Release of hazardous material to the sanitary sewer, storm sewer system, or the ground.**
   a. **Intentional**
   b. **Accidental**
   c. **Discovery of past release**

1. **Identification of Risk**

   The primary route for a pollutant to enter the environment that would be of concern to the Environmental Monitoring Program is through a release of a pollutant in liquid form to the wastewater system, the stormwater system, or to the ground. Such a release could constitute a violation of wastewater or stormwater discharge permits held by SNL/CA, or could constitute a violation of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. In this Risk Analysis, two risks will be considered: risk from intentional release (discharge) of pollutants, and unintentional release. The risk from discovering a past release will also be discussed.

   a. **Intentional Release**

      The intentional release scenario covers both the intentional release due to ignorance of regulations or best practices prohibiting such releases, and the willful discharge of pollutants even if such prohibitions are known.

      1. **Probability**

         SNL/CA takes great pains to educate the workforce about their responsibilities concerning environmental protection. This done through new-hire orientation, required training for generators of hazardous waste, and communications efforts by the Environmental Management Department. This training serves to minimize the probability of a release due to ignorance of the rules. SNL/CA also makes it clear to employees during new hire orientation that compliance with all environmental laws and regulations is expected and required. This serves to minimize the risk of willful discharges.

         The likelihood of an intentional discharge of a hazardous material is considered **Negligible**.

      2. **Consequence**

         The consequence of an intentional release can range from a simple audit finding to a revocation of SNL/CA’s sanitary sewer discharge permit. The cost to SNL/CA of an audit finding is minimal, requiring program personnel to develop and implement corrective actions. The cost to SNL/CA of the revocation of the
sanitary sewer discharge permit would be significant since most site operations would have to cease or be curtailed while the condition that resulted in the revocation of the permit was corrected. The consequence is considered to be **High**.

3. Overall Risk Category

   In accordance with the chart above, for a risk with a probability of **Negligible** with a **High** severity, the risk category is **Low**.

b. Accidental Release

   The accidental release scenario covers releases of hazardous materials due to spills and natural phenomena (e.g. earthquakes, fire, storm).

   1. **Probability**

      SNL/CA has over 40,000 containers of hazardous materials on-site (according to the Chemical Information System). All efforts are made to minimize the chance of accidental spills, such as requiring that all chemical containers greater than 1 liter be stored within secondary containment, site inspections for inappropriate storage of chemicals outdoors, etc. Given this large number of containers and the complexity of site operations, the probability of an accidental spill or release is considered to be **Very High**.

   2. **Consequence**

      The actions taken above to minimize the probability of an accidental release (secondary containment, etc.) also serve to minimize the consequence of an accidental release. In general, the largest chemical containers on site can be categorized:

      - Large storage tanks for cryogenic liquids
      - Large storage tanks for liquid fuels
      - Other chemicals (largest container 55 gallon drum)

      A cryogenic liquid release would not have a lasting effect on the environment due to rapid volatilization.

      Compressed gases are also not considered here since they would have negligible or no impact to the sanitary sewer, storm sewer, or groundwater.

      The liquid fuel storage tanks all have built-in secondary containment. These tanks are checked on a frequent, routine basis, so the potential for a large leak is minimal.
Other chemicals are required to be kept within secondary containment if the quantity exceeds one liter. Compliance with this requirement is verified by the Division 8000 Self-Assessment process, and also by the Environmental Monitoring Program’s annual site inspection. The largest single chemical container is a 55-gallon drum.

Given the above conditions, the consequence of the worst credible spill is considered to be Low, requiring minor environmental cleanup.

3. Overall Risk Category
   The overall risk category, given a probability of Very High and a consequence of Low is Medium.

c. Discovery of a Past Release
   Routine operations, such as construction of new buildings, or demolition of old buildings may uncover previously unknown areas of contamination.

1. Probability
   During the 1980’s, DOE undertook a comprehensive investigation of environmental releases at SNL/CA. The investigation included examination of aerial photographs, examination of records, and interviews with long-term and retired employees.

   Where it was determined that there was the potential for past releases to the environment, investigatory sampling was performed.

   These initial investigations led to the state of California designating 23 Solid Waste management Units (SWMUs) at SNL/CA. The State required that corrective action be undertaken at three of these locations. At two of these locations, the Navy Landfill, and the Trudell Auto Repair Shop, remedial actions have been completed. At the third site, the Fuel Oil Spill, the State determined that no further action was necessary, and the site is now in long-term monitoring.

   SWMU #10, the former Building 913 was investigated after Building 913 was removed. Sampling showed no contamination, and this SWMU was closed by the State.

   Given the extensive nature of the previous investigations, the likelihood of finding a previously unknown area of contamination is considered to be Negligible.
2. **Consequence**
   If a previously unknown area of contamination was discovered, it would require SNL/CA to increase funding to the Environmental Monitoring Program in order to remediate the problem. Such a remediation program can last many years, and funding can run into the tens of millions of dollars for major contamination. Therefore the consequence is considered to be **Medium**.

3. **Overall Risk Category**
   Given a probability of **Negligible** and a consequence of **Medium**, the risk category is **Low**.
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Summary of Results

The Environmental Monitoring Program staff assessed the LECS equipment as an element of the Program’s activities. The assessment demonstrated equipment documentation was available and accurate (except for the 916 level indicator) and equipment was functioning properly with adequate inspections. Several years ago the chart recorders were all replaced and most recently level indicators and LECS tanks at several facilities were replaced. The new equipment means easier monitoring and better performance at the LECS facilities.

The Environmental Operation Technician’s attention to record keeping and documenting equipment condition in appropriate log books allows for better communication between staff and better tracking.

The Program needs to decide on a schedule for routine inspections of LEC tank integrity. The Program also needs to investigate disposing of the ion exchange unit that is not used.

The Program’s web page was recently revised with a new format in conjunction with a new ES&H web page. The Environmental Monitoring Program’s web pages are still evolving. One improvement will be to add a link for the SPCC online training.

There were no findings or Corrective Action Plans identified in this assessment. Investment opportunities were identified including moving 968 pump controls, readouts and sampling sink beneath a shelter, installing ventilation at the 968 LECS facility and installing new level indicators at the 916 and 941 LECS.

Assessment Result Details

Scope

Environmental Monitoring Program staff assessed the LECS equipment as an element of the Program’s activities. The goal of this assessment was for continuous improvement in the Program area and to assess the reliability of LECS, which reduce the possibility of release of pollutants to the sewer. Staff would also take the opportunity to identify repair items, priorities and resulting investment opportunities.

The assessment included the physical condition of the equipment and verifying that operating procedures and equipment manuals are up to date and available. The assessment also reviewed supporting procedures. For example, corporate procedures for
placing LECS equipment repair work orders with the Maintenance Department were reviewed for compatibility with Program tracking needs and requirements.

In addition Program Staff conducted a Programmatic Document Review as required in the *Quality Assurance of Data, Documents and Select Activities of the Environmental, Safety and Health Departments, 8516 and 8517* report. The Programmatic Document Review Form is attached. These documents are related to maintaining regulatory compliance and will be reviewed annually with every self assessment program area.

**Methodology**

Program staff reviewed

- the equipment condition of the LECS tanks, controls and monitoring equipment;
- related documents such as operating procedures, logs and manuals; and
- supporting procedures for work orders, calibration and supporting equipment.

This review was conducted through personnel interviews, LECS facility inspections and document review.

**Items in Compliance**

The following operating procedures were found to be up to date, accurate and available:

- Operating Procedure for 906 LECS
- Operating Procedure for 910 LECS
- Operating Procedure for 916 LECS
- Operating Procedure for 941 LECS
- Operating Procedure for In-field Metals Analysis

The equipment manuals for the pH probes, level indicators and chart recorders are in Building 922 Room 105 on the Environmental Operations Technician’s book shelf. Copies of manuals, when multiple copies are available, are in the records center (EM-211 Equipment History). The tank specifications from Ryan Processes, Inc. for the 906 LECS, 941 LECS, and 968 LECS are in the Technician’s files and copies are in the records center (EM-211 Equipment History). Engineering drawings for all of the original LECS are in Building 922 Room 106 filing cabinet. The VVR Photometer manual is kept with the instrument in the Building 973 Room 105 laboratory space.

The following describes the LECS equipment condition:

- LECS tanks at 906, 941 and 968 were purchased in 2004 and installed in 2005
- LECS tanks at 910 and 916 are the original tanks installed approximately in 1990. Ryan Processes inspected these tanks in 2004 and found them to be in good condition.

---

1 Then Environmental Monitoring Program does not own the 910 LECS Facility. The 910 LECS Facility is owned by 8236-1 Electronic Prototype Lab.
- New LECS tank lids have been purchased and delivered for the 916 LECS tank. A work order was submitted to have these lids installed. The installation was not complete before the end of this assessment.
- pH monitoring equipment at all LECS is the original equipment (approximately 1990); the pH sensors are checked by a contractor during quarterly calibration activities and changed routinely, as needed.
- Level indicators are new (2005 Delta probes) at the 906 and 968 LECS. The level probes at the 916 and 941 LECS are original. There is no level indicator at the 910 LECS. The level indicator at the 941 LECS is scheduled to be replaced by January 2006.
- Aerators are installed at the 906, 916 and 941 LECS. These are original equipment. They are always kept on and checked daily to verify they are working. This is secondary LECS equipment. A failure of this equipment would not cause compliance issues or endanger the functionality of the LECS equipment.
- Agitators are at the 910, 916 and 941 LECS. These are original equipment. These are turned on during sampling and discharge. This is secondary LECS equipment. A failure of this equipment would not cause compliance issues or endanger the functionality of the LECS equipment.
- The circular charts (Honeywell) at all of the LECS were replaced in 2002. These are checked daily by the Environmental Operations Technician. The calibration contractor repairs or replaces chart recorders as needed.
- The 906, 910, 916 and 941 LECS have discharge and sample pumps. These pumps generally have a life span of 5-7 years. The 968 LECS has discharge pumps only and these generally have a shorter life span of 3-5 years. The company name and serial numbers are on the pumps for purchasing new equipment. (Note the 910 pumps are different than the other LECS and there is more flexibility with the brand and type of pump if a replacement is needed). The technician tracks the pumps purchased by Pro-card billing information. These records are kept in a binder in Building 922 Room 105 until they are transferred to the records center (EM-211 Equipment History). The pumps also have Maintenance Department identification numbers. Preventative maintenance and pump replacements are tracked by the Maintenance Department. The preventative maintenance (PM) schedule for the LECS pumps is semi-annual.
- Control panels at the 906, 916 and 941 LECS are secondary LECS equipment. Maintenance Department is called for electrical issues and the calibration contractor is responsible for fixing monitoring equipment displays on the control panel.

Maintenance Department has a new on-line tracking system for work order requests. This will make tracking of outstanding work orders and equipment repairs easy for the Environmental Monitoring Department.

Quarterly Certification Reports from the calibration contractor are kept in Building 922 Room 105 for a year or more and then filed in the records center (EM=211 Equipment History).
Strengths

The new level indicators installed have the ability to report in gallons and feet. Previous level indicators only displayed the depth of process water in feet. In addition, the new level indicators provide shut-off capability to the 906 and 968 LECS tanks that previously did not have this function. The Technician can set the water level the pumps will shut-off during discharge to ensure the pH sensors remain submerged to prevent possible equipment damage.

There is a log book at each LECS facility to record daily pH and level readings and notes about discharge and sampling activities. The Program staff make notes about equipment issues, calibration and other activities in the log books. This is an efficient way of informing any other Program staff that may monitor, or conduct other activities at the LECS, of the status.

Observations/Recommendations

The Operating Procedure for the 941 LECS refers to the tank inlet valves T-1 and T-2. These inlet valves should be labeled to be consistent with the operating procedure and the other LECS facilities where the valves are labeled.

The Operating Procedure for the 968 LECS will be updated to reflect the new equipment installed.

Agitators at the 910, 916 and 941 LECS may be added to the Preventative Maintenance schedule. Environmental Monitoring staff will research the equipment manufacturers recommendations for preventative maintenance activities. If needed, these activities will be added to the Preventative Maintenance schedule.

There is no equipment manual for the 916 LECS tank level indicator (Delta).

The Program needs to decide how often to have an outside contractor inspect the condition of the LECS tanks. The recommendation is to have the older tanks (910 and 916) inspected more frequently than the new tanks.

The Environmental Monitoring Program owns a portable ion exchange unit for treating the LECS process wastewater if needed. The unit does not work and has been stored for several years. Initial inquiries were made with the selling company to see if they would pick-up and dispose of the units. The company, US Filter, would not accept the unit. The unit should be disposed.

The Program is currently working to improve accessibility to the SPCC Training Page on the Program’s web page and TEDS/Corporate Training.
**Investment Opportunities**

Move all of the pump controls, readouts and sink for the 968 LECS under a shelter to be consistent with all the other LECS facilities.

Add a ventilation system at the 968 LECS to be consistent with all the other LECS facilities. The 968 LECS facility is currently a confined space below the catwalk because there is no ventilation system. No work can take place below the catwalk without very time consuming confined space entry procedures being met.

Replace level indicators at 916 and 941 LECS.

**Findings**

There were no findings in this self-assessment.

**Personnel Interviewed**

Robert Holland  
John Chavarria  
Kristin Kerr
Appendix 1. Assessment Team

Robert Holland,
John Chavarria,
Kristin Kerr
Appendix 2. Schedule

9/28/05 kick-off meeting
10/12/05 operating procedure review
10/19/05 interviews, facility inspections and document review
10/21/05 interviews and document review
11/01/05 interviews
11/09/05 document review
11/22/05 closing meeting
Appendix 3. Checklists
Environmental Monitoring Program Self Assessment

Program Element: LECS Equipment

Staff involved: Robert Holland, John Chavarria, Kristin Kerr

Date(s):

Hours spent doing the assessment:

1. Related documents for review (up to date, accurate and available)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Reviewed (date)</th>
<th>Comments, improvements, findings, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>910 LECS operating procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>906 LECS operating procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916 LECS operating procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>941 LECS operating procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968 LECS operating procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-field metals analysis operating procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Manuals – pH, level indicator, tanks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Equipment condition (approximate age, condition, functionality, adequacy, backups)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Inspected (date)</th>
<th>Comments, condition, findings, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>906 LECS</td>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. new black tanks and heavy lids make it difficult to visually check inside the tanks; suggest removing steel girders across the lid, replacing steel girders with lighter plastic pieces across the lid or cutting a smaller door in the lid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chart(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pump(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910 LECS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agitator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chart(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pump(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916 LECS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agitator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chart(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pump(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>941 LECS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tanks
pH probe
level probe
aerator
Agitator
chart(s)
pump(s)
Controls

e.g. Label inlet valves (T-1 & T-2)

968 LECS

Tanks
pH probe
level probe
chart(s)
pump(s)
controls
3. Supporting procedures (work orders, replace vs repair, calibration, supporting equipment needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting Procedure</th>
<th>Reviewed (date)</th>
<th>Comments, improvements, findings, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Orders tracking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration schedule/tracking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finding(s)

Corrective Action
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Review Complete / Date</th>
<th>Changes Made</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Procedure</td>
<td>Sanitary Sewer Outfall Monitoring (OP471410)</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>due for update 12/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incident Reporting (OP471608)</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>Manager of OP needs to be updated; will wait for review period in 6/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Categorical Process Monitoring (OP471409)</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>Last updated 4/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>SNL8A00186-009 Environmental Monitoring</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>will be updated in 12/05 for Version F Questions (currently Version E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Program Documents</td>
<td>Environmental Program Description</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for Construction Activities</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (Industrial + MS4)</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Pages</td>
<td>Program General Web Page</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>continues to evolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Web Page</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>continues to evolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sanitary Sewer Web Page</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>continues to evolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPCC Training Page</td>
<td>☒ 11/1/05</td>
<td>☒ Yes</td>
<td>continues to evolve; link to on-line training will be added</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Organization: 8516

Program: Environmental Monitoring

Date: ________________

Signature: ____________________________

Program Lead